GENERALIZED SYMMETRIES FOR GENERALIZED GRAVITONS

Pablo Bueno

Eurostrings 2023 - Gijón April 24th 2023





Based on:

[Benedetti, PB, Magán] arXiv:2304.XXXXX

1. Generalized symmetries and region algebras **2.** Generalized symmetries of linearized gravity **2.1.** Einstein gravity in D = 4 \star 2.2. Einstein gravity in D > 5*** 2.3. Higher-curvature gravities 3.** Conclusions and plans

1. GENERALIZED SYMMETRIES AND REGION ALGEBRAS

 Operators in QFT organize themselves in algebras associated to spacetime regions. ■ Operators in QFT organize themselves in algebras associated to spacetime regions. Associated to any spacetime region *R* there is an algebra of operators A(R) with support in that region.

- Operators in QFT organize themselves in algebras associated to spacetime regions. Associated to any spacetime region *R* there is an algebra of operators A(R) with support in that region.
- An algebra is a set of operators closed under linear combinations, products and taking adjoints

$$\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{A}$$

- Operators in QFT organize themselves in algebras associated to spacetime regions. Associated to any spacetime region *R* there is an algebra of operators A(R) with support in that region.
- An algebra is a set of operators closed under linear combinations, products and taking adjoints

 $1 \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha a + \beta b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{A}$

• Let \mathcal{A}' denote the algebra of operators which commute with \mathcal{A} . Then, the "von Neumann double-commutant theorem" establishes that $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A}$.

- Operators in QFT organize themselves in algebras associated to spacetime regions. Associated to any spacetime region *R* there is an algebra of operators *A*(*R*) with support in that region.
- An algebra is a set of operators closed under linear combinations, products and taking adjoints

 $1 \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha a + \beta b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{A}$

• Let \mathcal{A}' denote the algebra of operators which commute with \mathcal{A} . Then, the "von Neumann double-commutant theorem" establishes that $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A}$.

The algebraic formulation of QFT takes as fundamental objects associations between regions in Minkowski space and operator algebras (localized in them).

Given a region R, there are two somewhat canonical choices of algebras associated to it

Given a region R, there are two somewhat canonical choices of algebras associated to it

The algebra of local operators supported in *R*:

 $A_{add}(R)$ ["additive algebra"]

Given a region R, there are two somewhat canonical choices of algebras associated to it

■ The algebra of local operators supported in *R*:

 $A_{add}(R)$ ["additive algebra"]

■ The algebra of operators which commute with the local operators supported in its causal complement *R*':

$$\mathcal{A}_{\max}(R) = (\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R'))'$$
 ["maximal algebra"]

Given a region R, there are two somewhat canonical choices of algebras associated to it

■ The algebra of local operators supported in *R*:

 $A_{add}(R)$ ["additive algebra"]

■ The algebra of operators which commute with the local operators supported in its causal complement *R*′:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\max}(R) = (\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R'))'$ ["maximal algebra"]

In this context, causality is the statement that operators localized in spatially separated regions commute with each other,

Given a region R, there are two somewhat canonical choices of algebras associated to it

■ The algebra of local operators supported in *R*:

 $A_{add}(R)$ ["additive algebra"]

■ The algebra of operators which commute with the local operators supported in its causal complement *R*′:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\max}(R) = (\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R'))'$$
 ["maximal algebra"]

In this context, causality is the statement that operators localized in spatially separated regions commute with each other, so

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R') \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R))'$ [causality] which implies $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R)$

Under very general conditions, the maximal algebra coincides with the additive algebra for ball regions:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathcal{B})$ ["Haag duality"] \Leftarrow holds \forall QFT

Under very general conditions, the maximal algebra coincides with the additive algebra for ball regions:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathcal{B})$ ["Haag duality"] \Leftarrow holds \forall QFT

For general regions, the equality fails in general:

 $\mathcal{A}_{add}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{max}(R) \quad \forall R \quad ["duality"] \quad \Leftarrow \quad only holds for some QFTs ("complete")$

Under very general conditions, the maximal algebra coincides with the additive algebra for ball regions:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathcal{B})$ ["Haag duality"] \Leftarrow holds \forall QFT

For general regions, the equality fails in general:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R) \quad \forall R \quad [\text{"duality"}] \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{only holds for some QFTs ("complete")}$ If $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R)$ for some R,

Under very general conditions, the maximal algebra coincides with the additive algebra for ball regions:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathcal{B})$ ["Haag duality"] \Leftarrow holds \forall QFT

For general regions, the equality fails in general:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R) \quad \forall R \quad [\text{"duality"}] \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{only holds for some QFTs ("complete")}$ If $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R)$ for some R, then

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \vee \{a\}$

where $\{a\}$ are non-locally generated operators in R

Under very general conditions, the maximal algebra coincides with the additive algebra for ball regions:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathcal{B})$ ["Haag duality"] \Leftarrow holds \forall QFT

For general regions, the equality fails in general:

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R) \quad \forall R \quad [\text{"duality"}] \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{only holds for some QFTs ("complete")}$ If $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R)$ for some R, then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \vee \{a\}$$

where $\{a\}$ are non-locally generated operators in R

From von Neumann's double commutant theorem it is easy to prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_{ ext{max}}(\mathbf{R}') = \mathcal{A}_{ ext{add}}(\mathbf{R}') \lor \{b\}$$

where $\{b\}$ are non-locally generated operators in the causal complement R'.

GENERALIZED SYMMETRIES

 $d \star J = 0$, $\star J \neq dG$ where G is a physical field of the theory

 $d \star J = 0$, $\star J \neq dG$ where G is a physical field of the theory

Standard global ("o-form") symmetries $\Leftrightarrow p = 1$

 $d \star J = 0$, $\star J \neq dG$ where G is a physical field of the theory

- Standard global ("o-form") symmetries $\Leftrightarrow p = 1$
- *p*-form symmetry currents define topological higher-form charges by integrating $\star J$ over (D p)-oriented surfaces $\Sigma_{(D-p)}$

 $d \star J = 0$, $\star J \neq dG$ where G is a physical field of the theory

- Standard global ("o-form") symmetries $\Leftrightarrow p = 1$
- *p*-form symmetry currents define topological higher-form charges by integrating $\star J$ over (D p)-oriented surfaces $\Sigma_{(D-p)}$

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$

 $d \star J = 0$, $\star J \neq dG$ where G is a physical field of the theory

- Standard global ("o-form") symmetries $\Leftrightarrow p = 1$
- *p*-form symmetry currents define topological higher-form charges by integrating $\star J$ over (D p)-oriented surfaces $\sum_{(D-p)}$

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$

• One can define the operators implementing the corresponding generalized symmetry in the usual way, $U_g = e^{ig\Phi}$

 $d \star J = 0$, $\star J \neq dG$ where G is a physical field of the theory

- Standard global ("o-form") symmetries $\Leftrightarrow p = 1$
- *p*-form symmetry currents define topological higher-form charges by integrating $\star J$ over (D p)-oriented surfaces $\sum_{(D-p)}$

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$

- One can define the operators implementing the corresponding generalized symmetry in the usual way, $U_g = e^{ig\Phi}$
- **The charged operators are supported on** (p 1)-dimensional manifolds

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = 0$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = o$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

■ In that case, the generalized flux operator

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$
 only depends on $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = o$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

In that case, the generalized flux operator

7

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$
 only depends on $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$

Let *R* be a region enclosing $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$ and with the same topology.

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = 0$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

■ In that case, the generalized flux operator

7

$$\Phi\equiv\int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}}\star J$$
 only depends on $\partial\Sigma_{(D-p)}$

Let *R* be a region enclosing $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$ and with the same topology. Then $[\Phi, \mathcal{O}] = O \forall$ local operator \mathcal{O} supported outside *R*.

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = 0$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

In that case, the generalized flux operator

$$\Phi\equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}}\star J$$
 only depends on $\partial\Sigma_{(D-p)}$

Let *R* be a region enclosing $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$ and with the same topology. Then $[\Phi, \mathcal{O}] = O \forall$ local operator \mathcal{O} supported outside *R*. In other words, Φ commutes with all elements of $\mathcal{A}_{add}(R')$ and therefore

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = 0$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

In that case, the generalized flux operator

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$
 only depends on $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$

Let *R* be a region enclosing $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$ and with the same topology. Then $[\Phi, \mathcal{O}] = o \forall$ local operator \mathcal{O} supported outside *R*. In other words, Φ commutes with all elements of $\mathcal{A}_{add}(R')$ and therefore

$$\Phi \in (\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathsf{R}'))' = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathsf{R})$$

Assume $\exists p$ -form J such that $d \star J = o$ and $\star J \neq dG$ with G a physical field.

■ In that case, the generalized flux operator

$$\Phi \equiv \int_{\Sigma_{(D-p)}} \star J$$
 only depends on $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$

Let *R* be a region enclosing $\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}$ and with the same topology. Then $[\Phi, \mathcal{O}] = O \forall$ local operator \mathcal{O} supported outside *R*. In other words, Φ commutes with all elements of $\mathcal{A}_{add}(R')$ and therefore

$$\Phi \in (\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathsf{R}'))' = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(\mathsf{R})$$



On the other hand,

$$\Phi \neq \int_{\partial \Sigma_{(D-p)}} G$$
 with G a physical field

On the other hand,

$$\Phi
eq \int_{\partial \Sigma_{(\mathcal{D}-p)}} G \quad ext{with } G ext{ a physical field}$$

and therefore, Φ is not locally generated within *R*,

 $\Phi \not\in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$
On the other hand,

$$\Phi
eq \int_{\partial\Sigma_{(\mathcal{D}-p)}}G \quad ext{with } G ext{ a physical field}$$

and therefore, Φ is not locally generated within *R*,

 $\Phi \not\in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$

As a consequence, $\mathcal{A}_{\max}(R)$ contains more operators than $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$, namely, $e^{\mathrm{i}g\Phi}$ and all its products with local operators

On the other hand,

$$\Phi
eq \int_{\partial\Sigma_{(\mathcal{D}-p)}}G \quad ext{with } G ext{ a physical field}$$

and therefore, Φ is not locally generated within *R*,

 $\Phi \not\in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$

As a consequence, $\mathcal{A}_{\max}(R)$ contains more operators than $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$, namely, $e^{\mathrm{i}g\Phi}$ and all its products with local operators

$$\mathcal{A}_{ ext{max}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{ ext{add}}(R) \lor \{\Phi\}$$

On the other hand,

$$\Phi
eq \int_{\partial\Sigma_{(\mathcal{D}-p)}}G$$
 with G a physical field

and therefore, Φ is not locally generated within *R*,

 $\Phi \not\in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$

As a consequence, $A_{\max}(R)$ contains more operators than $A_{\text{add}}(R)$, namely, $e^{ig\Phi}$ and all its products with local operators

$$\mathcal{A}_{\max}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathbf{R}) \vee \{\Phi\}$$

As a consequence of von Neumann's double commutant theorem, A'' = A, the same holds in the complementary region, R',

On the other hand,

$$\Phi
eq \int_{\partial\Sigma_{(\mathcal{D}-p)}}G$$
 with G a physical field

and therefore, Φ is not locally generated within *R*,

 $\Phi \not\in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$

As a consequence, $A_{\max}(R)$ contains more operators than $A_{\text{add}}(R)$, namely, $e^{ig\Phi}$ and all its products with local operators

$$\mathcal{A}_{\max}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(\mathbf{R}) \vee \{\Phi\}$$

As a consequence of von Neumann's double commutant theorem, A'' = A, the same holds in the complementary region, R',

$$\mathcal{A}_{ ext{max}}(\mathit{R}') = \mathcal{A}_{ ext{add}}(\mathit{R}') \lor \{\Psi\}$$

and \exists a non-locally generated flux operator Ψ associated to R'

On the other hand,

$$\Phi
eq \int_{\partial \Sigma_{(\mathcal{D}-p)}} G$$
 with G a physical field

and therefore, Φ is not locally generated within *R*,

 $\Phi \not\in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R)$

As a consequence, $A_{\max}(R)$ contains more operators than $A_{\text{add}}(R)$, namely, $e^{ig\Phi}$ and all its products with local operators

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{max}}(R) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{add}}(R) \vee \{\Phi\}$$

As a consequence of von Neumann's double commutant theorem, A'' = A, the same holds in the complementary region, R',

$$\mathcal{A}_{ ext{max}}(\mathbf{R}') = \mathcal{A}_{ ext{add}}(\mathbf{R}') \lor \{\Psi\}$$

and \exists a non-locally generated flux operator Ψ associated to R' \Rightarrow Generalized symmetries always come in pairs

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_p} \star \hat{J}$$

Furthermore, if $e^{ig\Phi}$ is charged under a continuous non-compact symmetry group, there exists a (D - p)-form current \tilde{J} such that $d \star \tilde{J} = 0$ and $\star \tilde{J} \neq dG$ with G a physical field such that [Benedetti, Casini, Magan]

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} \star \hat{J}$$

■ The existence of a conserved *p*-form current predicts the existence of a dual conserved (*D* − *p*)-form current and viceversa

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_p} \star \hat{J}$$

- The existence of a conserved *p*-form current predicts the existence of a dual conserved (*D* − *p*)-form current and viceversa
- Violations of duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(p-1)}$ and $\pi_{(D-p+1)}$ groups \Leftrightarrow generalized (p-1)-form symmetries

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_p} \star \hat{J}$$

- The existence of a conserved *p*-form current predicts the existence of a dual conserved (*D* − *p*)-form current and viceversa
- Violations of duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(p-1)}$ and $\pi_{(D-p+1)}$ groups \Leftrightarrow generalized (p-1)-form symmetries
- Example with p = 2:

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_p} \star \hat{J}$$

- The existence of a conserved *p*-form current predicts the existence of a dual conserved (*D* − *p*)-form current and viceversa
- Violations of duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(p-1)}$ and $\pi_{(D-p+1)}$ groups \Leftrightarrow generalized (p-1)-form symmetries
- Example with p = 2: free Maxwell in *D*-dimensions has two generalized symmetries with currents $J_m \equiv \star F$ and $J_e \equiv F$

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_p} \star \hat{J}$$

- The existence of a conserved *p*-form current predicts the existence of a dual conserved (*D* − *p*)-form current and viceversa
- Violations of duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(p-1)}$ and $\pi_{(D-p+1)}$ groups \Leftrightarrow generalized (p-1)-form symmetries
- Example with p = 2: free Maxwell in D-dimensions has two generalized symmetries with currents $J_m \equiv \star F$ and $J_e \equiv F \Leftrightarrow$ violations of duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 and $\pi_{(D-3)}$

$$\Psi = \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} \star \hat{J}$$

- The existence of a conserved *p*-form current predicts the existence of a dual conserved (*D* − *p*)-form current and viceversa
- Violations of duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(p-1)}$ and $\pi_{(D-p+1)}$ groups \Leftrightarrow generalized (p-1)-form symmetries
- Example with p = 2: free Maxwell in *D*-dimensions has two generalized symmetries with currents $J_m \equiv \star F$ and $J_e \equiv F \Leftrightarrow$ violations of duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 and $\pi_{(D-3)} \Leftrightarrow$ Wilson and 't Hooft loops

2. GENERALIZED SYMMETRIES OF LINEARIZED GRAVITY

[(Iyer, Lee), Wald; Komar; Bondi, Metzner, Sachs; Arnowitt, Deser, Misner; Regge, Teitelboim...]

[(Iyer, Lee), Wald; Komar; Bondi, Metzner, Sachs; Arnowitt, Deser, Misner; Regge, Teitelboim...]

Quantum gravity, completeness and absence of generalized symmetries

[Polchinski; Banks, Seiberg; Casini, Huerta, Magan, Pontello; Rudelius, Shao; Harlow, Ooguri...]

[(Iyer, Lee), Wald; Komar; Bondi, Metzner, Sachs; Arnowitt, Deser, Misner; Regge, Teitelboim...]

Quantum gravity, completeness and absence of generalized symmetries

[Polchinski; Banks, Seiberg; Casini, Huerta, Magan, Pontello; Rudelius, Shao; Harlow, Ooguri...]

Intriguing connections with fracton physics

[Pretko; Benedetti, Casini, Magan]

[(Iyer, Lee), Wald; Komar; Bondi, Metzner, Sachs; Arnowitt, Deser, Misner; Regge, Teitelboim...]

Quantum gravity, completeness and absence of generalized symmetries

[Polchinski; Banks, Seiberg; Casini, Huerta, Magan, Pontello; Rudelius, Shao; Harlow, Ooguri...]

Intriguing connections with fracton physics

[Pretko; Benedetti, Casini, Magan]

Explicit realizations of the dual-pairs principle

[Benedetti, Casini, Magan]

2.1. EINSTEIN GRAVITY IN D = 4

Linearized perturbations on Minkowski spacetime

$$g_{\mu
u} = \eta_{\mu
u} + h_{\mu
u} \,, \quad ||h_{\mu
u}|| \ll 1 \,, \quad h_{[\mu
u]} = 0 \,, \quad h \equiv \eta^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u}$$

Linearized perturbations on Minkowski spacetime

$$g_{\mu
u} = \eta_{\mu
u} + h_{\mu
u} \,, \quad ||h_{\mu
u}|| \ll 1 \,, \quad h_{[\mu
u]} = 0 \,, \quad h \equiv \eta^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u}$$

We can expand every relevant tensor in powers of $h_{\mu\nu}$: $T = T^{(0)} + T^{(1)} + T^{(2)} + O(h^3)$

Linearized perturbations on Minkowski spacetime

$$g_{\mu
u} = \eta_{\mu
u} + h_{\mu
u} \,, \quad ||h_{\mu
u}|| \ll 1 \,, \quad h_{[\mu
u]} = 0 \,, \quad h \equiv \eta^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u}$$

We can expand every relevant tensor in powers of $h_{\mu\nu}$: $T = T^{(0)} + T^{(1)} + T^{(2)} + O(h^3)$

■ The Einstein gravity action reduces to the Fierz-Pauli one

$$S_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int {\rm d}^D x \sqrt{|g|} R \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle FP} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int {\rm d}^D x \, \left[\left(1 + \frac{h}{2} \right) \, R^{(1)} + R^{(2)} \right] \, . \label{eq:Seher}$$

23

Linearized perturbations on Minkowski spacetime

$$g_{\mu
u} = \eta_{\mu
u} + h_{\mu
u} \,, \quad ||h_{\mu
u}|| \ll 1 \,, \quad h_{[\mu
u]} = 0 \,, \quad h \equiv \eta^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u}$$

We can expand every relevant tensor in powers of $h_{\mu\nu}$: $T = T^{(0)} + T^{(1)} + T^{(2)} + O(h^3)$

■ The Einstein gravity action reduces to the Fierz-Pauli one

$$S_{_{\rm EH}} = rac{1}{16\pi G}\int {
m d}^D x \sqrt{|g|} R \ \ \, \Rightarrow \ \ \, S_{_{\rm FP}} = rac{1}{16\pi G}\int {
m d}^D x \, \left[\left(1+rac{h}{2}
ight) \, R^{(1)} + R^{(2)}
ight] \, .$$

Theory of a spin-2 symmetric field on Minkowski spacetime. Equations of motion:

11

$$R^{(1)}_{\mu
u}=0$$

Linearized perturbations on Minkowski spacetime

$$g_{\mu
u} = \eta_{\mu
u} + h_{\mu
u} \,, \quad ||h_{\mu
u}|| \ll 1 \,, \quad h_{[\mu
u]} = 0 \,, \quad h \equiv \eta^{\mu
u} h_{\mu
u}$$

We can expand every relevant tensor in powers of $h_{\mu\nu}$: $T = T^{(0)} + T^{(1)} + T^{(2)} + O(h^3)$

■ The Einstein gravity action reduces to the Fierz-Pauli one

$$S_{_{\rm EH}} = rac{1}{16\pi G}\int {
m d}^D x \sqrt{|g|} R \ \ \, \Rightarrow \ \ \, S_{_{\rm FP}} = rac{1}{16\pi G}\int {
m d}^D x \, \left[\left(1+rac{h}{2}
ight) \, R^{(1)} + R^{(2)}
ight] \, .$$

Theory of a spin-2 symmetric field on Minkowski spacetime. Equations of motion:

$$R^{(1)}_{\mu
u}=0$$

■ Gauge symmetry-like invariance (⇔ linearized diffeomorphisms)

$$h_{\mu
u}
ightarrow h_{\mu
u} + 2 \partial_{(\mu} \xi_{
u)}$$

■ The Riemann tensor is the generator of the gauge-invariant algebra

- The Riemann tensor is the generator of the gauge-invariant algebra
- Our currents should be formed from contractions of $\{R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \eta_{\mu\nu}, \varepsilon_{\mu_1...\mu_D}\}$

- The Riemann tensor is the generator of the gauge-invariant algebra
- Our currents should be formed from contractions of $\{R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \eta_{\mu\nu}, \varepsilon_{\mu_1...\mu_D}\}$
- It is useful and illuminating to use the dual Riemann tensor

$$R^*_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \, \varepsilon_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{D-2}\lambda\sigma} \, R^{\lambda\sigma}_{\ \alpha\beta} \, .$$

The on-shell curvatures satisfy a series of properties

$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -R_{\nu\mu\alpha\beta} = -R_{\mu\nu\beta\alpha}$	[Skew Symmetry]
$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$	[Interchange Symmetry]
$\eta^{\mu\alpha} \mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$	[Einstein Equation]
$\varepsilon^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu}=O$	[1st Bianchi identity]
$\varepsilon^{\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma}\partial_{\alpha}R_{\beta\gamma\mu\nu}=0$	[2nd Bianchi identity]
$\partial^{\mu} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$	[Einstein Equation]

[Levi-Civita skew symmetry] $R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} = -R^*_{\mu_2\mu_1\ldots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} = \dots$ $R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} = -R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-2}\beta\alpha}$ [Riemann skew symmetry] $\eta^{\gamma\alpha} R^*_{\gamma\mu_1\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} = 0$ [1st Bianchi identity] $\varepsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-1}\beta}R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-1}\alpha}=0$ [Einstein Equation] $\varepsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_D-1^{\beta}}R^*_{\alpha\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_D} = 0$ [Einstein Equation] $\partial^{\gamma} R^{*}_{\gamma \mu_{1} \dots \mu_{D} \ \alpha \beta} = 0$ [2nd Bianchi identity] $\partial^{\beta} R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} = 0$ [Riemman conservation] $\varepsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-1}\gamma}\partial_{\mu_1}R^*_{\mu_2\mu_3\dots\mu_{D-1}\alpha\beta}=0$ [Riemman conservation] $\varepsilon^{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_D} - 3^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_D} = 0$ [2nd Bianchi identity]

In D = 4, one finds the following conserved two-forms

[Benedetti, Casini, Magan; Hinterbichler, Hofman, Joyce, Mathys]

In D = 4, one finds the following conserved two-forms

[Benedetti, Casini, Magan; Hinterbichler, Hofman, Joyce, Mathys]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha} b^{\beta} - x^{\beta} b^{\alpha}) \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha} d^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} d^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} d^{\alpha\beta} x^{2}) \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{aligned}$$

In D = 4, one finds the following conserved two-forms

[Benedetti, Casini, Magan; Hinterbichler, Hofman, Joyce, Mathys]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}b^{\beta} - x^{\beta}b^{\alpha}) \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}d^{\beta\gamma}x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta}d^{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}d^{\alpha\beta}x^{2}) \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $a^{\alpha\beta}$, b^{α} , $c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, $d^{\alpha\beta}$ are skey-symmetric arrays of real parameters.

In D = 4, one finds the following conserved two-forms

[Benedetti, Casini, Magan; Hinterbichler, Hofman, Joyce, Mathys]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}b^{\beta} - x^{\beta}b^{\alpha}) \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}d^{\beta\gamma}x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta}d^{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}d^{\alpha\beta}x^{2}) \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $a^{\alpha\beta}, b^{\alpha}, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}, d^{\alpha\beta}$ are skey-symmetric arrays of real parameters. This makes a total of 20 independent conserved two-forms in D = 4.

In D = 4, one finds the following conserved two-forms

[Benedetti, Casini, Magan; Hinterbichler, Hofman, Joyce, Mathys]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}b^{\beta} - x^{\beta}b^{\alpha}) \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}d^{\beta\gamma}x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta}d^{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}d^{\alpha\beta}x^{2}) \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $a^{\alpha\beta}$, b^{α} , $c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, $d^{\alpha\beta}$ are skey-symmetric arrays of real parameters.

- This makes a total of 20 independent conserved two-forms in D = 4.
- Integrating these charges on $\Sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow$ non-locally generated flux operators on ring-like regions \Leftrightarrow violations of duality for regions with non-trivial π_1 .

Analogously, we can construct 20 conserved two-forms using the dual Riemann tensor

Analogously, we can construct 20 conserved two-forms using the dual Riemann tensor

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) , & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{C}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{c}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{D}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{d}^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} \tilde{d}^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{d}^{\alpha\beta} x^2 \right) , & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = d \star \tilde{C} = d \star \tilde{D} = 0 \end{split}$$
Analogously, we can construct 20 conserved two-forms using the dual Riemann tensor

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}\tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta}\tilde{b}^{\alpha}) \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{C}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{c}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{D}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}\tilde{d}^{\beta\gamma}x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta}\tilde{d}^{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{d}^{\alpha\beta}x^{2}) \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = d \star \tilde{C} = d \star \tilde{D} = 0 \end{split}$$

This verifies the dual-pairs principle.

Analogously, we can construct 20 conserved two-forms using the dual Riemann tensor

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) , & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{C}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{c}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{D}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{d}^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} \tilde{d}^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{d}^{\alpha\beta} x^2 \right) , & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = d \star \tilde{C} = d \star \tilde{D} = 0 \end{split}$$

■ This verifies the dual-pairs principle.

However, in this case it is sort of trivial, since the tilded charges are not independent from the untilded ones.

Analogously, we can construct 20 conserved two-forms using the dual Riemann tensor

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) , & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{C}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{c}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} \,, & [4 \text{ independent}] \\ \tilde{D}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R^*_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{d}^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} \tilde{d}^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{d}^{\alpha\beta} x^2 \right) , & [6 \text{ independent}] \\ & d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = d \star \tilde{C} = d \star \tilde{D} = 0 \end{split}$$

■ This verifies the dual-pairs principle.

However, in this case it is sort of trivial, since the tilded charges are not independent from the untilded ones. There is a total of 20 independent currents.

\star 2.2. Einstein gravity in $D \ge 5$

Beyond $D \ge 5$, Einstein gravity is not self-dual anymore

- **Beyond** $D \ge 5$, Einstein gravity is not self-dual anymore
- Charges built using the dual Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1

- **Beyond** $D \ge 5$, Einstein gravity is not self-dual anymore
- Charges built using the dual Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 whereas the ones built using the Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(D-3)}$

- **Beyond** $D \ge 5$, Einstein gravity is not self-dual anymore
- Charges built using the dual Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 whereas the ones built using the Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(D-3)}$
- Two possibilities:

- Beyond $D \ge 5$, Einstein gravity is not self-dual anymore
- Charges built using the dual Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 whereas the ones built using the Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(D-3)}$
- Two possibilities:
 - All the D-dimensional versions of the tilded and untilded currents exist

- **Beyond** $D \ge 5$, Einstein gravity is not self-dual anymore
- Charges built using the dual Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 whereas the ones built using the Riemann would violate duality in regions with non-trivial $\pi_{(D-3)}$
- Two possibilities:
 - ► All the *D*-dimensional versions of the tilded and untilded currents exist
 - Some of the tilded and some of the untilded are no longer conserved

Naively, there is an immediate generalization of the untilded charges:

Naively, there is an immediate generalization of the untilded charges:

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} b^{\beta} - x^{\beta} b^{\alpha} \right) \,, & [D] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} \,, & [D(D-1)(D-2)/6] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} d^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} d^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} d^{\alpha\beta} x^{2} \right) \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ & d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{split}$$

Naively, there is an immediate generalization of the untilded charges:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}b^{\beta} - x^{\beta}b^{\alpha}) \,, & [D] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_{\gamma} \,, & [D(D-1)(D-2)/6] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, (x^{\alpha}d^{\beta\gamma}x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta}d^{\alpha\gamma}x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}d^{\alpha\beta}x^{2}) \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{aligned}$$

These would yield D(D+1)(D+2)/6 candidates to generalized symmetries associated to violations of duality on rings.

Naively, there is an immediate generalization of the untilded charges:

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, a^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} b^{\beta} - x^{\beta} b^{\alpha} \right) \,, & [D] \\ C_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \, c^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} \,, & [D(D-1)(D-2)/6] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} d^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} d^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} d^{\alpha\beta} x^{2} \right) \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ d \star A = d \star B = d \star C = d \star D = 0 \end{split}$$

These would yield
$$D(D+1)(D+2)/6$$
 candidates to generalized symmetries associated to violations of duality on rings.

■ The dual-pairs principle would suggest that D(D+1)(D+2)/6 dual currents should exist...

• However, only $\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$ are conserved: $d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, \qquad [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) \,, \quad [D] \end{split}$$

• However, only $\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$ are conserved: $d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) \,, & [D] \end{split}$$

 $d \star \tilde{C} \neq o, d \star \tilde{D} \neq o$ because the Bianchi identity of the dual Riemann with only three indices contracted does not hold.

• However, only $\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$ are conserved: $d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) \,, & [D] \end{split}$$

 $d \star \tilde{C} \neq o, d \star \tilde{D} \neq o$ because the Bianchi identity of the dual Riemann with only three indices contracted does not hold. One finds

$$\varepsilon^{\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma} R^{*}_{\nu_{1}\ldots\nu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\beta\gamma\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}}_{\alpha\delta\nu_{1}\ldots\nu_{D-3}} R^{\delta\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma} ,$$

which does not vanish for $D \ge 5$...

• However, only $\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$ are conserved: $d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) \,, & [D] \end{split}$$

 $d \star \tilde{C} \neq o, d \star \tilde{D} \neq o$ because the Bianchi identity of the dual Riemann with only three indices contracted does not hold. One finds

$$\varepsilon^{\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma} R^{*}_{\nu_{1}\ldots\nu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\beta\gamma\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}}_{\alpha\delta\nu_{1}\ldots\nu_{D-3}} R^{\delta\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma},$$

which does not vanish for $D \ge 5$...

■ This would be fine if we did not know about the dual-pairs principle...

• However, only $\{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$ are conserved: $d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \, \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right) \,, & [D] \end{split}$$

 $d \star \tilde{C} \neq o, d \star \tilde{D} \neq o$ because the Bianchi identity of the dual Riemann with only three indices contracted does not hold. One finds

$$\varepsilon^{\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma} R^{*}_{\nu_{1}\ldots\nu_{D-3}\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\beta\gamma\mu_{1}\ldots\mu_{D-3}}_{\alpha\delta\nu_{1}\ldots\nu_{D-3}} R^{\delta\alpha}_{\ \beta\gamma},$$

which does not vanish for $D \ge 5$...

This would be fine if we did not know about the dual-pairs principle...
Either we are missing tilded currents, or some of the untilded ones in fact become exact in D > 5

• One can try to construct modified versions of \tilde{C}, \tilde{D} exploiting the failure of the Bianchi identity.

• One can try to construct modified versions of \tilde{C}, \tilde{D} exploiting the failure of the Bianchi identity. However, all such possible conserved currents turn out to be exact and generate no symmetries.

- One can try to construct modified versions of \tilde{C} , \tilde{D} exploiting the failure of the Bianchi identity. However, all such possible conserved currents turn out to be exact and generate no symmetries.
- The only alternative seems to be that we are overcounting the number of independent untilded currents...

- One can try to construct modified versions of \tilde{C} , \tilde{D} exploiting the failure of the Bianchi identity. However, all such possible conserved currents turn out to be exact and generate no symmetries.
- The only alternative seems to be that we are overcounting the number of independent untilded currents...
- This is precisely the case! It turns out that the $\{A, C\}$ currents become exact for $D \ge 5$

 $\begin{aligned} \star \mathbf{A} &= \mathrm{d} \, \star \, \mathcal{A} \,, \\ \star \mathbf{C} &= \mathrm{d} \, \star \, \mathcal{C} \,, \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho} &\sim -R^*_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}} \,\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}\sigma} \, x_{\sigma} \,, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho} &\sim R^*_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}} \, \left(\frac{1}{2} \,\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}} \, x^2 + \, \frac{\eta^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}}_{\beta_1\dots\beta_{D-3}}}{(D-4)!} \, \mathfrak{c}^{\beta_1\dots\beta_{D-4}\sigma} \, x^{\beta_{D-3}} \, x_{\sigma} \right) \,. \end{split}$$

- One can try to construct modified versions of \tilde{C} , \tilde{D} exploiting the failure of the Bianchi identity. However, all such possible conserved currents turn out to be exact and generate no symmetries.
- The only alternative seems to be that we are overcounting the number of independent untilded currents...
- This is precisely the case! It turns out that the $\{A, C\}$ currents become exact for $D \ge 5$

 $\mathbf{\star} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{d} \, \mathbf{\star} \, \mathcal{A} \,,$ $\mathbf{\star} \, \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{d} \, \mathbf{\star} \, \mathcal{C} \,,$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\mu\nu\rho} &\sim -R^*_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}} \, \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}\sigma} \, x_{\sigma} \, , \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu\rho} &\sim R^*_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}} \, \left(\frac{1}{2} \, \tilde{\mathfrak{c}}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}} \, x^2 + \, \frac{\eta^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{D-3}}_{\beta_1\dots\beta_{D-3}}}{(D-4)!} \, \mathfrak{c}^{\beta_1\dots\beta_{D-4}\sigma} \, x^{\beta_{D-3}} \, x_{\sigma} \right) \, . \end{split}$$

These relations are not true in D = 4 (A, C are not skew-symmetric differential forms in that case).

Hence, the dual-pairs principle prevails.

■ Hence, the dual-pairs principle prevails. We have D(D + 1)/2 conserved 2-form currents and D(D + 1)/2 conserved (D - 2)-form currents

■ Hence, the dual-pairs principle prevails. We have D(D + 1)/2 conserved 2-form currents and D(D + 1)/2 conserved (D - 2)-form currents for a total of D(D + 1) generalized symmetries

- Hence, the dual-pairs principle prevails. We have D(D + 1)/2 conserved 2-form currents and D(D + 1)/2 conserved (D 2)-form currents for a total of D(D + 1) generalized symmetries
- The right set of conserved currents is $\{B, D, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$,

$$\begin{split} B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} b^{\beta} - x^{\beta} b^{\alpha} \right), & [D] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} d^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} d^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} d^{\alpha\beta} x^{2} \right), & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta}, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right), & [D] \end{split}$$

- Hence, the dual-pairs principle prevails. We have D(D + 1)/2 conserved 2-form currents and D(D + 1)/2 conserved (D 2)-form currents for a total of D(D + 1) generalized symmetries
- The right set of conserved currents is $\{B, D, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}$,

$$\begin{split} B_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} b^{\beta} - x^{\beta} b^{\alpha} \right), & [D] \\ D_{\mu\nu} &\equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} d^{\beta\gamma} x^{\gamma} - x^{\beta} d^{\alpha\gamma} x^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} d^{\alpha\beta} x^{2} \right), & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{A}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta}, & [D(D-1)/2] \\ \tilde{B}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}} &\equiv R^{*}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \left(x^{\alpha} \tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \tilde{b}^{\alpha} \right), & [D] \end{split}$$

The associated generalized charges read

$$\Phi = \int_{\Sigma_{D-2}} \star (B + D) , \quad \Psi = \int_{\Sigma_2} \star \left(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B} \right) .$$

3. CONCLUSIONS AND PLANS

Physical interpretation of the charges

Physical interpretation of the charges

• Consider for most general higher-curvature $\mathcal{L}(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{\mu\nu}, \nabla_{\mu})$ in general D

- Physical interpretation of the charges
- Consider for most general higher-curvature $\mathcal{L}(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{\mu\nu}, \nabla_{\mu})$ in general D
- Add explicit mass term to Fierz-Pauli action

- Physical interpretation of the charges
- Consider for most general higher-curvature $\mathcal{L}(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{\mu\nu}, \nabla_{\mu})$ in general D
- Add explicit mass term to Fierz-Pauli action
- Change background to (A)dS
Guided by the dual-pairs principle, we have constructed new conserved *p*-form currents for linearized Einstein gravity in general dimensions. The corresponding fluxes are associated to operators which violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 and $\pi_{(D-3)}$ (rings and their complements). Future:

- Physical interpretation of the charges
- Consider for most general higher-curvature $\mathcal{L}(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{\mu\nu}, \nabla_{\mu})$ in general D
- Add explicit mass term to Fierz-Pauli action
- Change background to (A)dS
- Move beyond linear order

Guided by the dual-pairs principle, we have constructed new conserved *p*-form currents for linearized Einstein gravity in general dimensions. The corresponding fluxes are associated to operators which violate duality in regions with non-trivial π_1 and $\pi_{(D-3)}$ (rings and their complements). Future:

- Physical interpretation of the charges
- Consider for most general higher-curvature $\mathcal{L}(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{\mu\nu}, \nabla_{\mu})$ in general D
- Add explicit mass term to Fierz-Pauli action
- Change background to (A)dS
- Move beyond linear order
- Break symmetries. Fractons?

Entanglement

Barcelona, 19-23 June, 2023

INVITED SPEAKERS

 Horacio Casini (Instituto Balseiro, Centro Atómico Bariloche)
 Stefan Hollands (ITE, U. Leipzig)
 Veronika Hüberny (U. California, Davis - QMAP)
 Sergey Solodukhin (Institut Denis Poisson, U. Tour

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Pablo Bueno (ICCUB)
Bartomeu Fiol (ICCUB)

ICCUB

Institut de Ciències del Cosmos Pacela UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

- General structure of entanglement entropy in QFT
 Operator algebras and modula
- Entanglement and black boles
- Entanglement and black hole
 Entanglement in AdS/CET
- Entanglement and symmetrie
- Energy and entropy bounds
- Irreversibility theorems

WHAT? SCHOOL ON ENTANGLEMENT IN QFT

WHERE? ICC UNIVERSITY OF BARCELONA

WHEN? JUNE 19 - JUNE 23

WHO ARE THE LECTURERS? HORACIO CASINI STEFAN HOLLANDS VERONIKA HUBENY SERGEY SOLODUKHIN

\star 2.3. Higher-curvature gravities

LINEARIZED HIGHER-CURVATURE GRAVITIES

Generalization \Rightarrow linearization of higher-curvature gravities $\mathcal{L}(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{\mu\nu})$.

■ For a Minkowski background, the most general theory with non-trivial linearized equations involves a general quadratic modification of the Einstein-Hilbert term. The modified FP action reads

$$S_{\rm FP} + \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^D x \left[\alpha_1 R_{(1)}^2 + \alpha_2 R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} R_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} + \alpha_3 R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}^{(1)} R_{(1)}^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \right]$$

The linearized equations read [PB, Cano, Min, Visser]

$$\left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{m_g^2}\right) R_{\mu\nu} - \Delta_{\mu\nu} R = 0 \,, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \left[1 - \frac{\partial^2}{m_g^2}\right] + \frac{(D-2)(m_g^2 - m_s^2)}{2(D-1)m_s^2 m_g^2} \left[\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2\right] \,,$$

where we defined

$$lpha_1 \equiv rac{(D-2)m_g^2 + Dm_s^2}{4(D-1)m_s^2 m_g^2} + lpha_3 \,, \qquad lpha_2 \equiv -rac{1}{m_g^2} - 4lpha_3$$

■ Metric perturbation \Leftrightarrow usual transverse graviton + spin-0 massive mode + spin-2 massive mode: $\partial^2 h_{\mu\nu}^T = 0$, $(\partial^2 - m_s^2)\phi = 0$, $(\partial^2 - m_g^2)h_{\mu\nu}^M = 0$.

23

22

GENERALIZED SYMMETRIES FOR HIGHER-CURVATURE GRAVITONS

Let us focus on D = 4.

Some of the tilded currents are identical to the Einstein gravity ones, and they remain conserved, namely, $d \star \tilde{A} = d \star \tilde{B} = 0$

$$\tilde{A}_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-2}} \equiv R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \,\tilde{a}^{\alpha\beta} \,, \quad [6] \qquad \tilde{B}_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-2}} \equiv R^*_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{D-2}\alpha\beta} \,(x^{\alpha}\tilde{b}^{\beta} - x^{\beta}\tilde{b}^{\alpha}) \,, \quad [4]$$

Natural to expect 10 additional untilded charges. However, the Riemann tensor is neither traceless nor divergenceless anymore... Modified Riemann tensor

$$J_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \equiv \left[1 - \frac{\partial^2}{m_g^2}\right] R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \Delta_{\mu\beta} R_{\nu\alpha} - \Delta_{\mu\alpha} R_{\nu\beta} + \Delta_{\nu\alpha} R_{\mu\beta} - \Delta_{\nu\beta} R_{\mu\alpha} \,,$$

shares symmetries of Riemann and divergenceless. With this: $d \star A = d \star B = 0$,

$$A_{\mu\nu} = J_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} a^{\alpha\beta} , \quad [6] \qquad C_{\mu\nu} = J_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} c^{\alpha\beta\gamma} x_{\gamma} , \quad [4]$$

■ These makes again a total of 20 conserved currents.

$$Q = \int_{\Sigma_2} (\tilde{A} + \tilde{B} + A + C)$$