3-Forms, Axions and D-brane Instantons

Eduardo García-Valdecasas Tenreiro

Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Madrid

Based on 1605.08092 by E.G. & A. Uranga

V Postgradute Meeting on Theoretical Physics, Oviedo, 18th November 2016











<ロ> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日</p>

Motivation & Outline

Motivation

- Axions have very flat potentials \Rightarrow Good for Inflation.
- Axions with non-perturbative (instantons) potential can always be described as a **3-Form eating up the 2-Form** dual to the axion.
- There is no candidate 3-Form for stringy instantons. We will look for it in the geometry deformed by the instanton.

Outline

- Axions, Monodromy and 3-Forms.
- String Theory, D-Branes and Instantons.
- Backreacting Instantons.

-> ∢ ⊒

Axions and Monodromy.

Axions are periodic scalar fields.

- This shift symmetry can be broken to a discrete symmetry by non-perturbative effects → Very flat potential, good for inflation.
- The discrete shift symmetry gives periodic potentials, but non-periodic ones can be used by endowing them with a **monodromy** structure. For instance:

$$\left|\phi\right|^2 + \mu^2 \phi^2 \tag{1}$$

- Superplanckian field excursions in Quantum Gravity are under pressure due to the Weak Gravity Conjecture (Arkani-Hamed *et al.*, 2007).
 Monodromy may provide a workaround (Silverstein & Westphal, 2008; Marchesano *et al.*, 2014).
- This mechanism can be easily realized in string theory.

Kaloper-Sorbo Monodromy

• A quadratic potential with monodromy can be described by a Kaloper-Sorbo lagrangian (Kaloper & Sorbo, 2009),

$$|d\phi|^2 + n\phi F_4 + |F_4|^2$$
 (2)

Where the monodromy is given by the vev of F_4 , different fluxes correspond to different branches of the potential.



(lhanez *et al*

2016)

Kaloper-Sorbo Monodromy

Monodromy structure visible in potential solving E.O.M,

$$V_0 \sim (n\phi - q)^2, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (3)

So there is a discrete shift symmetry,

$$\phi \to \phi + \phi_0, \quad q \to q + n\phi_0$$
 (4)

A dual description can be found using the hodge dual of φ, b₂,

$$|db_2 + nc_3|^2 + |F_4|^2$$
, $F_4 = dc_3$ (5)

The flatness of the axion potential is protected by gauge invariance,

$$c_3 \rightarrow c_3 + d\Lambda_2, \quad b_2 \rightarrow b_2 - n\Lambda_2$$
 (6)

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

We will look for a three form c_3 coupling to the axion as $\sim \phi F_4$

 This description has the periodicity built in. Whenever the potential breaks it, there will be monodromy in the UV.

Example: Peccei-Quinn mechanism in 3-Form language.

 Strong CP problem: QCD has an anomalous U(1)_A symmetry producing a *physical* θ-term.

$$\mathcal{L} \sim rac{g^2 heta}{32\pi^2} F^a_{\mu
u} \tilde{F}^{a\mu
u}$$
 (7)

Where θ classifies topologically inequivalent vacua and is typically ~ 1. This term breaks CP and experimental data constrain $\theta \lesssim 10^{-10} \rightarrow$ **Fine Tuning.**

• Solution: PQ Mechanism. New anomalous spontaneously broken U(1)_{PQ}. So θ is promoted to pseudo-Goldstone boson, the axion. Non-perturbative effects give it a potential and fix $\theta = 0$.

Example: Peccei-Quinn mechanism in 3-Form language.

• The term can be rewritten as (Dvali, 2005),

$$\theta F \tilde{F} \sim \theta F_4 = \theta \, \mathrm{d}C_3, \quad C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \mathrm{Tr} \left(A_{[\alpha} A_{\beta} A_{\gamma]} - \frac{3}{2} A_{[\alpha} \partial_{\beta} A_{\gamma]} \right)$$
(8)

So, making θ small = How can I make the F_4 electric field small?

Solution: Screen a field ⇒ Higgs mechanism. Let C₃ eat a 2-Form b₂! ⇒ b₂ is Hodge dual of axion!
 Potential for the axion ⇐⇒ 3-Form eating up a 2-form.

String Theory

- String theory *unifies* gravity and quantum mechanics.
- String theory aims to describe *everything* as vibrations of tiny strings (see IFT youtube).
 - \rightarrow Each particle is a different vibration state of the string
 - \Rightarrow So string theory is **awesome**!
- Caveats: 10 dimensions, infinite (or very big) landscape of vacua, only pertubatively defined... But *who cares?*

 \rightarrow Gravity is described by closed strings and gauge interactions by open strings.

 \rightarrow 6 dimensions are compact: $\mathcal{M}^4 \times X^6$





 Open Strings end on non-perturbative, dynamical objects called D-Branes,



 \rightarrow Rich physcis inside the Brane.

▶ ∢ ⊒

D-Brane Instantons

Dp-Branes completely wrapped around euclidean p cycles are D-brane instantons. There are two kinds:

- D*p*-Brane instanton inside D(p + 4)-Brane \rightarrow Gauge Instanton.
- Dp-Brane alone \rightarrow Stringy Instanton.

 \Rightarrow We study non-perturbative potentials for axions coupling to stringy instantons.

There are 5 string theories, all related through dualities. We will focus on type IIB. It has odd Dp-branes and odd RR Forms:
 *F*₁, *F*₃, *F*₅...

A = A = A = E =
 O Q O

Axions in String Theory.

Axions are ubiquitous in string theory. For instance upon compactification,

$$a(x) = \int_{\Sigma^{\rho}} C_{\rho}$$
(9)

Where the shift symmetry arises from the gauge invariance of the RR form.

 Monodromy can be realised in many ways in string theory. For instance, as unwinding of a brane (Silverstein & Westphal, 2008),





Axion potentials come from non-perturbative effects and can be described by a 3-form eating up a 2-form. For instance, for gauge D-brane instantons it is the CS 3-Form (Dvali, 2005).

- For stringy instantons → No candidate 3-Form!
 ⇒ Solution: look for the 3-Form in the *backreacted* geometry (Koerber & Martucci, 2007).
- In the backreacted geometry the instanton disappears and its open-string degrees of freedom are encoded into the geometric (closed strings) degrees of freedom. Both descriptions are related in an *"holographic"* way.

$SU(3) \times SU(3)$ structure manifolds.

• The backreacted geometry will, generically, be a non CY, SU(3) × SU(3) structure manifold. This structure has two globally well defined spinors $\eta_+^{(1)}, \eta_+^{(2)}$, with c.c. $\eta_-^{(1)}, \eta_-^{(2)}$.

Define two polyforms (assume type IIB):

$$\Psi_{\pm} = -\frac{i}{||\eta^{(1)}||^2} \sum_{l} \frac{1}{l!} \eta^{(2)\dagger}_{\pm} \gamma_{m_1...m_l} \eta^{(1)}_{\pm} dy^{m_l} \wedge \ldots \wedge dy^{m_1}$$
(10)

Organize 10d fields in holomorphic polyforms,

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi_2, \quad \mathcal{T} \equiv e^{-\Phi} \operatorname{Re} \Psi_1 + i\Delta C$$
 (11)

• For an SU(3) structure manifold, $\eta^{(1)} \sim \eta^{(2)}$ and one recovers $\mathcal{Z} \sim \Omega$, $\mathcal{T} \sim e^{iJ}$

Backreacting a D3-instanton

- Consider type IIB String Theory with a D3-instanton wrapping a 4-cycle Σ₄ in a CY₃.
- One can show from the SUSY conditions for the D3-instanton that the backreaction is encoded in a contribution to Z (Koerber & Martucci, 2007):

$$d(\delta \mathcal{Z}) \sim \mathcal{W}_{np} \delta_2(\Sigma_4) \tag{12}$$

 \Rightarrow So, the backreaction produces a 1-form \mathcal{Z}_1 that didn't exist in the original geometry.

• Note that \mathcal{Z}_1 is not closed and thus not harmonic.

The 3-form and the KS coupling

• Let us define $\alpha_1 \equiv \mathcal{Z}_1$ and $\beta_2 \equiv d\alpha_1$.

• In type IIB string theory there is a RR 4-form C₄. We may expand it as,

$$C_4 = \alpha_1(y) \wedge c_3(x) + \beta_2(y) \wedge b_2(x) + \dots$$
(13)

 \Rightarrow So we have a 3-form and a 2-form dual to an scalar.

We see that,

$$F_5 = \mathsf{d}C_4 = \beta_2 \wedge (c_3 + \mathsf{d}b_2) - \alpha_1 \wedge F_4 \tag{14}$$

< □ ▶ < 同

Which describes a 3-Form eating up a 2-Form, as we wanted! Furthermore,

$$\int_{10d} F_5 \wedge *F_5 = -\int_{10d} C_4 \wedge \mathsf{d}F_5 \rightarrow \int_{10d} C_4 \wedge \beta_2 \wedge F_4 = \int_{4d} \phi F_4 \qquad (15)$$

Which is the KS coupling we were looking for.

Toroidal examples.

- For a 4-cycle defined by the equation f = 0 the 1-form is $\mathcal{Z}_{(1)} \sim df \tilde{W}_{np}$.
- Example 1: Factorisable 6-torus, M⁴ × T² × T² × T² with local coordinates z₁, z₂, z₃ and take the cycle f = z₃ = 0,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{(1)} \sim \boldsymbol{e}^{-T} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z}_3 \tag{16}$$

 \Rightarrow already in the original geometry, because T⁶ is non-CY.

• Example 2: Orbifold T⁶/(Z₂ × Z₂) with action,

$$\theta: (z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (-z_1, z_2, -z_3), \quad \omega: (z_1, z_2, z_3) \to (z_1, -z_2, -z_3)$$
 (17)

Local coordinates $u_i = z_i^2$ and cycle $f \sim u_3 = 0$ give backreaction,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{(1)} \sim \mathrm{d} u_3 \sim z_3 \,\mathrm{d} z_3 \tag{18}$$

D-brane gauge Instanton backreaction

- D3-brane instantons wrapping the same 4-cycle as *N* spacetime-filling D7-branes are gauge instantons from the SU(*N*) point of view.
- In the gauge (open string) description a CS 3-Form is available to couple to the axion.
- We can backreact the D7's together with their non-perturbative effects to find a dual description in terms of closed string degrees of freedom.
 Again a 1-Form arises when taking the non-perturbative effects into account,

$$d\mathcal{Z} = iI_s \langle S \rangle \,\delta_2(\Sigma) \tag{19}$$

Where S is the gaugino condensate describing the non-perturbative effects.

(

∃ ► ▲ ∃ ► ■ | = ● Q Q

Generalization

- Supersymmetry equations in type IIA are trickier, but we can use mirror symmetry.
- Mirror symmetry is a symmetry between IIA and IIB living in mirror CY₃'s. In the large complex structure limit it equal to 3 T-dualities.
- The mirror dual of our setup consists on a D2-brane wrapping a 3-cycle. The backreaction gives rise to,

$$\delta \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{(2)} + \mathcal{T}_{(4)} \tag{20}$$

 \Rightarrow so we can obtain the 3-Form in two ways,

$$C_7 = \mathcal{T}_{(4)} \wedge c_3, \quad C_5 = \mathcal{T}_{(2)} \wedge c_3 \tag{21}$$

Conclusions & Outlook

- Axions are a useful tool for inflation and are easily realised in String Theory.
- Axion Monodromy may help avoid the Weak Gravity Conjecture.
- Axion physics can be described in a dual language where a **3-form eats up a 2-form.**
- For stringy instantons no known 3-form to couple to the 2-form was known. We have showed that it only appears when the "backreaction" is taken into account.
- The geometry ceases to be CY and new forms (and cycles) that were not there arise.

Further work:

- Use this mechanism as a self consistency test for the Weak Gravity Conjecture for axions and 3-Forms.
- Study the backreaction of particular instantons in specific setups ⇒
 ⇒ Geometric transitions.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thank You!

<日> < 団> < 団> < 団> < 団> < 団> < 団> < 団</p>

Arkani-Hamed, Nima, Motl, Lubos, Nicolis, Alberto, & Vafa, Cumrun. 2007.

The String landscape, black holes and gravity as the weakest force.

JHEP, 06, 060.

Dvali, Gia. 2005.

Three-form gauging of axion symmetries and gravity.

 Ibanez, Luis E., Montero, Miguel, Uranga, Angel, & Valenzuela, Irene. 2016.
 Relaxion Monodromy and the Weak Gravity Conjecture. JHEP, 04, 020.

Kaloper, Nemanja, & Sorbo, Lorenzo. 2009. A Natural Framework for Chaotic Inflation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **102**, 121301.

Koerber, Paul, & Martucci, Luca. 2007.

From ten to four and back again: How to generalize the geometry.

JHEP, 08, 059.

Marchesano, Fernando, Shiu, Gary, & Uranga, Angel M. 2014. F-term Axion Monodromy Inflation. *JHEP*, **09**, 184.

Silverstein, Eva, & Westphal, Alexander. 2008. Monodromy in the CMB: Gravity Waves and String Inflation. *Phys. Rev.*, **D78**, 106003.

ㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋ