Generalised fluxes, moduli fixing and cosmological implications

Adolfo Guarino Almeida

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA TEÓRICA UAM/CSIC, MADRID

PhD thesis

Advisor: Jesús M. Moreno Moreno



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Outline

1) Introduction to flux moduli stabilisation scenarios

2) Flux vacua in T-duality invariant supergravity models

3) Some insights into the structure of T/S-duality invariant supergravity models

 $4) \,\, {\rm Conclusions} \,\,$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Introduction to flux moduli stabilisation scenarios

Type II ten dimensional supergravities

• Supergravity theories arise as low energy limits of String Theory,

System size $L \gg l_s$

- Low energy limit of type II superstrings $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric field theories in 10 dimensions.
- Type II SUGRA bosonic field content:
 - ▶ NS-NS universal sector: G, B_2 , φ
 - ▶ R-R sector: C_1 , C_3 (type IIA) or C_0 , C_2 , C_4 (type IIB)
- Superstring theories then necessarily predict the existence of extra dimensions as well as supersymmetry (not observed yet):
 - \blacktriangleright Compactification from 10 to 4 dimensions
 - Mechanisms of SUSY breaking

Reducing dimensions and supersymmetries

• Reducing type II supergravities on a six-torus \mathbb{T}^6 of characteristic size R,

System size $L \gg R \gg l_s$

 \Rightarrow $\mathcal{N}=8$ supersymmetric effective field theory in 4d.

- Reducing type II supergravities on $\frac{\mathbb{T}^6}{\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2}$ orbifold,
 - ▶ the action of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold group automatically implies the factorisation $\mathbb{T}^6 = \mathbb{T}_1^2 \times \mathbb{T}_2^2 \times \mathbb{T}_3^2$.



 \Rightarrow $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric effective field theory in 4d.

- Having an orientifold of the $\frac{\mathbb{T}^6}{(\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2)}$ orbifold entails modding out the theory by:
 - i) Worldsheet symmetry ii) Internal space involution σ
 - $\Rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ supersymmetric effective field theory in 4d.}$

$\frac{\mathbb{T}^6}{(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)}$ IIB orientifold with O3/O7-planes

- Modding out the IIB theory by the worldsheet symmetry $\;(-1)^{F_L}\,\Omega_p:$

	EVEN	ODD
$(-1)^{F_L} \Omega_p$	$G,arphi,C_{0},C_{4}$	$B_2,C_2 o {\sf Projected}$ out

- Orientifold group action: Combined action of the internal space involution $\sigma = -\mathbb{I}_6$ and the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ orbifold group elements $\{\mathbb{1}, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$:
 - ▶ σ 1 creates O3-planes located at its fixed points.
 - ▶ $\sigma \theta_I$ creates O7_{*I*}-planes located at its fixed 4-cycles.
- Extensions of G.R. involving 4d complex scalar fields a.k.a moduli fields.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Geometric moduli} \\ \bullet \quad \text{associated to each } \mathbb{T}_I^2 \\ (U_I \in \mathbb{C}, \, A_I \in \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{K\"ahler:} \quad T_I = \int_{\mathrm{M}_6} C_4 \wedge \omega_I + i \, e^{-\varphi} \, A_J A_K \\ \text{Complex structure:} \quad U_I \end{array} \right.$

• Axio-dilaton: $S \equiv C_0 + i e^{-\varphi}$ determining $g_s = e^{\langle \varphi \rangle} = \frac{1}{\text{Im}S}$.

The moduli problem

• Lagrangian density for the moduli fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{moduli} = K_{ij} \, \partial_{\mu} \Phi_i \, \partial^{\mu} \bar{\Phi}_j - V(\Phi) \qquad \text{with} \qquad V(\Phi) = 0$$

where the metric in the field space (Kähler metric),

$$K_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \Phi_i \, \partial \bar{\Phi}_j} \qquad \text{with} \quad \Phi \equiv \left(\, S \, , \, T_1 \, , \, T_2 \, , \, T_3 \, , \, U_1 \, , \, U_2 \, , \, U_3 \, \right) \; ,$$

is computed from the Kähler potential

$$K = -\log\left(-i\left(S - \bar{S}\right)\right) - \sum_{I=1}^{3}\log\left(-i\left(T_{I} - \bar{T}_{I}\right)\right) - \sum_{I=1}^{3}\log\left(-i\left(U_{I} - \bar{U}_{I}\right)\right)$$

- One of the main challenges of String Phenomenology is to find mechanisms for the moduli to be stabilised.
 - Problem: (i) massless moduli would mediate long range interactions. (ii) Physical quantities undetermined: g_s, internal space size and shape, vacuum energy,...
 - Challenges: moduli stabilisation in a de Sitter vacuum?, SUSY breaking?, inflation?...
 - $\Rightarrow \text{ How to induce } V(\Phi) \neq 0? \Longrightarrow \text{ Flux backgrounds } !!$

Background of gauge fluxes

• The orientifold involution action allows background fluxes \bar{H}_3 and \bar{F}_3 for the NS-NS and R-R 3-forms,

 $H_3 = dB_2 + \bar{H}_3$ and $F_3 = dC_2 - H_3 \wedge C_0 + \bar{F}_3$

- Consistency conditions: O3/D3 sources needed to cancel a flux-induced tadpole for the R-R C_4 gauge potential.
- Gauge fluxes induce a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpotential for the axiodilaton S and the complex structure moduli U_I ,

$$W(S, U_I) = \int_{M_6} (\bar{F}_3 - S \,\bar{H}_3) \wedge \Omega \stackrel{\mathbb{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}{=} P_1(U_I) + S \, P_2(U_I) ,$$

 \Rightarrow Non-vanishing $\mathcal{N} = 1$ scalar potential !!

$$V(\Phi) = e^{K} \sum_{\Phi = S, T_{I}, U_{I}} K^{\Phi \bar{\Phi}} |D_{\Phi}W|^{2} - 3|W|^{2}$$

where $D_{\Phi}W = \partial_{\Phi}W + W \partial_{\Phi}K$

• How to stabilise the Kähler moduli $T_I? \Rightarrow$ generalised fluxes, Font, Ibáñez, Lüst and Quevedo [Phys.Lett.B245:401-408,1990]

non-perturbative effects, ... Font, Ibanez, Lust and Quevedo [Phys.Lett.B2403:401-408,1990] Achucarro, de Carlos, Casas and Doplicher [arXiv:hep-th/0601190]

T-duality and generalised background fluxes

- Type II reductions on \mathbb{T}^6 come out with an SO(6, 6) target space duality, the so-called T-duality, relating IIB and IIA compactifications.
- T-duality transformations upon \bar{H}_3 give rise to generalised fluxes

$$\bar{H}_{abc} \xrightarrow{T_a} \omega^a_{bc} \xrightarrow{T_b} Q^{ab}_c \xrightarrow{T_c} R^{abc}$$

involving metric fluxes ω and non-geometric Q and R fluxes.

- Type IIB orientifold with O3/O7-planes \Rightarrow Only \bar{H}_3 and Q fluxes.
- These fluxes define a 12d flux algebra \mathfrak{g} spanned by:
 - ▶ X^a gauge generators coming from the reduction of B_2 .
 - $\blacktriangleright~Z_a$ isometry generators coming from the reduction of G

$$\begin{bmatrix} X^a, X^b \end{bmatrix} = Q_c^{ab} X^c \quad , \quad \begin{bmatrix} Z_a \, , X^b \end{bmatrix} = Q_a^{bc} Z_c \quad , \quad \begin{bmatrix} Z_a \, , Z_b \end{bmatrix} = \bar{H}_{abc} \, X^c$$

Shelton, Taylor and Wecht [arXiv:hep-th/0508133]

with (a = 1, ..., 6), playing the role of structure constants.

· Quadratic Jacobi identities descend from the algebra,

 $Q^2=0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \bar{H}_3\,Q=0 \ ,$ with the contractions of indices $Q_x^{[ab}\,Q_d^{c]x}=0$ and $\bar{H}_{x[bc}\,Q_{d]}^{ax}=0$.

• Flux-induced tadpoles: O3/D3 and O7/D7 sources needed to respectively cancel flux-induced tadpoles for the R-R $C_4\,$ and $C_8\,$ gauge potentials

$$\int C_4 \wedge \bar{H}_3 \wedge \bar{F}_3$$
 and $\int C_8 \wedge (Q \cdot \bar{F}_3)$

• $\mathcal{N}=1\,$ flux-induced superpotential depending on all the type IIB moduli fields,

$$W(S, T_I, U_I) = \int_{M_6} \left((\bar{F}_3 - S \bar{H}_3) + Q \cdot \mathcal{J} \right) \wedge \Omega \stackrel{\mathbb{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}{=} P_1(U_I) + S P_2(U_I) + \sum_{K=1}^3 P_3^{(K)}(U_I) T_K$$

• Effective theory invariant under $SL(2)_U$ modular transformations on the complex structure moduli

$$U_I \to \frac{\alpha_I U_I + \beta_I}{\gamma_I U_I + \delta_I}$$
 provided $\bar{F}_3 \to \bar{F}'_3$, $\bar{H}_3 \to \bar{H}'_3$, $Q \to Q'$

S-duality and generalised background fluxes

• Type IIB non-perturbative SL(2)_S self-duality, the so-called S-duality, acting on S and the gauge fluxes (\bar{F}_3,\bar{H}_3) as

$$S \to \frac{aS+b}{cS+d}$$
 , $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{F}_3\\ \bar{H}_3 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{F}_3\\ \bar{H}_3 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\Rightarrow A \text{ new } P \text{ flux has to be introduced to build an } SL(2)_S\text{-doublet } (Q, P)$ of non-geometric fluxes. Aldazabal, Cámara, Font and Ibáñez [arXiv:hep-th/0602089]

• $\mathcal{N} = 1$ flux-induced superpotential,

$$W(S, T_I, U_I) = \int_{M_6} \left((\bar{F}_3 - S \bar{H}_3) + (Q - S P) \cdot \mathcal{J} \right) \wedge \Omega^{-\mathbb{T}^6 / \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}$$

= $P_1(U_I) + S P_2(U_I) + \sum_{K=1}^3 \left(P_3^{(K)}(U_I) + S P_4^{(K)}(U_I) \right) T_K$

• Effective theory now invariant under $SL(2)_{U,S}$ modular transformations

$$U_I \to \frac{\alpha U_I + \beta}{\gamma U_I + \delta} \quad \text{and} \quad S \to \frac{a S + b}{c S + d}$$

provided $(\bar{F}_3, \bar{H}_3) \to (\bar{F}'_3, \bar{H}'_3)$ and $(Q, P) \to (Q', P')$.

- Which are the flux constraints in the T/S-duality invariant theory?
- Ansatz: To apply S-duality $SL(2)_S$ transformations upon the flux constraints of the T-duality invariant effective theory.

Aldazabal, Cámara, Font and Ibáñez [arXiv:hep-th/0602089]

Jacobi identities:

T-dual theory	T/S-dual theory	transformation
$Q^2 = 0$	$Q^2=0$, $P^2=0$, $QP+PQ=0$	$SL(2)_S$ -triplet
$\bar{H}_3 Q = 0$	$\bar{H}_3 Q - \bar{F}_3 P = 0$	$SL(2)_S$ -singlet

- Flux-induced tadpoles:
 - ▶ (D7, I7, NS7)-branes coupling to the SL(2)_S-triplet (C₈, C'₈, C̃₈) of R-R gauge potentials. Bergshoeff, de Roo, Kerstan, Ortín and Riccioni [arXiv:hep-th/0601128] Bergshoeff, Hartong, Ortín and Roest [arXiv:hep-th/0612072]
 - ▶ D3-branes coupling to the $SL(2)_S$ -singlet R-R gauge potential C_4 .

T-dual theory	T/S-dual theory	transformation
$\int C_8 \wedge (Q \cdot \bar{F}_3)$	$\int C_8 \wedge (Q \cdot \bar{F}_3) , \int C'_8 \wedge (Q \cdot \bar{H}_3 + P \cdot \bar{F}_3) , \int \tilde{C}_8 \wedge (P \cdot \bar{H}_3)$	$SL(2)_S$ -triplet
$\int C_4 \wedge \bar{H}_3 \wedge \bar{F}_3$	$\int C_4 \wedge ar{H}_3 \wedge ar{F}_3$	$SL(2)_S$ -singlet
	▲□▶ ▲冊▶ ★■▶	<

The isotropic supergravity models

• The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry allows for a huge amount of flux parameters:

 $(\bar{F}_3 \,, \, \bar{H}_3) \,+\, (Q \,, \, P) \,\longrightarrow\, (8 + 8) \,+\, (24 + 24) = 64$ flux parameters !!

• Additional \mathbb{Z}_3 (isotropy) symmetry on the fluxes under the exchange $1 \to 2 \to 3$ in the factorisation $\mathbb{T}^6 = \mathbb{T}_1^2 \times \mathbb{T}_2^2 \times \mathbb{T}_3^2$.



e.g.
$$\bar{H}_{|--} = \bar{H}_{-|-} = \bar{H}_{--|}$$

Isotropic flux backgrounds reduce the number of flux parameters

 $(\bar{F}_3 \,,\, \bar{H}_3) \,+\, (Q \,,\, P) \,\,\longrightarrow\,\, (4+4) \,+\, (8+8) = 24$ flux parameters !!

and are compatible with vacua in which

 $T_1 = T_2 = T_3 \equiv T$ and $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 \equiv U$.

• (S,T,U) SUGRA models

$$K = -\log\left(-i\left(S-\bar{S}\right)\right) - 3\log\left(-i\left(T-\bar{T}\right)\right) - 3\log\left(-i\left(U-\bar{U}\right)\right)$$
$$W = \underbrace{P_1(U)}_{\bar{F}_3} + S\underbrace{P_2(U)}_{\bar{H}_3} + T\left(\underbrace{P_3(U)}_Q + S\underbrace{P_4(U)}_Q\right)$$

Flux vacua in T-duality invariant SUGRA models $(Q \neq 0 \text{ and } P = 0)$

Based on the collaborations:

A. Font, A. G. and J. Moreno B. de Carlos, A.G. and J. Moreno B. de Carlos, A.G. and J. Moreno [arXiv:0911.2876 [hep-th]]

[arXiv:0809.3748 [hep-th]] [arXiv:0907.5580 [hep-th]]

• The models are specified by the Kähler potential and the superpotential given by

$$K = -\log\left(-i\left(S-\bar{S}\right)\right) - 3\log\left(-i\left(T-\bar{T}\right)\right) - 3\log\left(-i\left(U-\bar{U}\right)\right)$$

$$W = \underbrace{P_1(U)}_{\bar{F}_3} + S \underbrace{P_2(U)}_{\bar{H}_3} + T \underbrace{P_3(U)}_Q$$

• Flux constraints:

Jacobi identities	(C_4, C_8) tadpole cancellations
$Q^{2} = 0$	$\bar{H}_3 \wedge \bar{F}_3 = N_{\rm O3} - N_{\rm D3} \equiv N_3$
$\bar{H}_3 Q = 0$	$Q \cdot \bar{F}_3 = N_{\rm D7} - N_{\rm O7} \equiv N_7$

- Goals: Finding extrema $\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi}=0\right)$ of the (S,T,U) flux-induced scalar potential:
 - Supersymmetric Minkowski and AdS₄
 - Non-supersymmetric Minkowski/dS

 Computational approach: scanning of flux parameters + numerical minimisation procedure ⇒ Distribution of SUSY vacua.

Shelton, Taylor and Wecht [arXiv:hep-th/0607015]

• Our approach: To make extensive use of the isotropic orbifold symmetries as well as of the flux-algebra structure.

Sketch of our approach



Flux algebras and SUGRA models

• The set of allowed *Q*-algebras accordingly to the orbifold symmetries:

 $\mathfrak{so}(3, \mathtt{l})$, $\mathfrak{so}(4)$, $\mathfrak{su}(\mathtt{2}) + \mathfrak{u}(\mathtt{l})^3$, $\mathfrak{iso}(3)$ and \mathfrak{nil}

 \Rightarrow Five non-equivalent Q-algebras \Rightarrow Splitting of SUGRA models.

• Provided a particular *Q*-algebra, its associated effective SUGRA model is encoded into two phases $(\theta_{\epsilon}, \theta_{\xi})$.

$$\mathcal{K} = -3 \log \left(-i \left(\mathcal{Z} - \bar{\mathcal{Z}}\right)\right) - \log \left(-i \left(\mathcal{S} - \bar{\mathcal{S}}\right)\right) - 3 \log \left(-i \left(\mathcal{T} - \bar{\mathcal{T}}\right)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{Z}, \theta_{\epsilon}, \theta_{\xi}) + \mathcal{S} \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{Z}, \theta_{\epsilon}) + \mathcal{T} \mathcal{P}_3(\mathcal{Z})$$

Q-algebra	$\mathcal{P}_3(\mathcal{Z})/3$	$\mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{Z}, heta_\epsilon)$	$\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{Z}, heta_\epsilon, heta_\xi)$
\$0(3,1)	$-\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}^2+1)$	$-c_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z}^3 - 3 s_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z}^2 + 3 c_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z} + s_{\epsilon}$	
\$O(4)	$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}^2-1)$	$c_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z}^3 + 3 s_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z}^2 + 3 c_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z} + s_{\epsilon}$	
$\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)^3$	Z	$c_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z}^3 + s_{\epsilon}$	$s_{\xi} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_3(\mathcal{Z}) - c_{\xi} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(\mathcal{Z})$
iso(3)	$-\mathcal{Z}$	$3 c_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z} + s_{\epsilon}$	
nil	1	$c_{\epsilon} - 3 s_{\epsilon} \mathcal{Z}$	

• Any consistent flux model can be brought to one of the above five models by applying a modular transformation $\mathcal{Z} = \Gamma U$.

• Provided a particular Q-algebra, its extension to a complete (\bar{H}_3, Q) flux algebra g is encoded into the value of the phase $\theta_{\epsilon} \in [0, 2\pi]$.

Q-algebra	Flux algebra $ \mathfrak{g} $	$ heta_\epsilon$
so (3, 1)	$\mathfrak{so}(3,1) + \mathfrak{so}(3,1)$	$[0, 2\pi]$
	$\mathfrak{so}(3,1) + \mathfrak{so}(3,1)$	$(-\frac{\pi}{4},\frac{\pi}{4})$
	$\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(4)$	$\left(\frac{3\pi}{4},-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$
$\mathfrak{so}(4)$	$\mathfrak{so}(3,1) + \mathfrak{iso}(3)$	$-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}$
	$\mathfrak{so}(3,1) + \mathfrak{so}(4)$	$\left(\frac{\pi}{4},\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)\cup\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4},-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$
	$\mathfrak{iso}(3) + \mathfrak{so}(4)$	$\frac{3\pi}{4}, -\frac{3\pi}{4}$
	$\mathfrak{so}(3,1) + \mathfrak{nil}$	$\left(\tfrac{3\pi}{2},0\right)\cup\left(0,\tfrac{\pi}{2}\right)$
	$\mathfrak{so}(3,1) + \mathfrak{u}(1)^6$	0
$\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)^3$	$\mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{z}) + \mathfrak{nil}$	$\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}$
	$\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{nil}$	$(\frac{\pi}{2},\pi)\cup(\pi,\frac{3\pi}{2})$
	$\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)^6$	π
i\$0(3)	$\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z},\mathfrak{1})\oplus_{\mathbb{Z}_3}\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{1})^6$	$\left(\frac{3\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
	$\mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{z})\oplus_{\mathbb{Z}_3}\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{1})^6$	$\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}$
	$\mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \mathfrak{u}(1)^6$	$\left(\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$
niľ	$\mathfrak{nil}_{12}(4)$	$(0,\pi)\cup(\pi,2\pi)$
	$\mathfrak{nil}_{12}(2)$	$0, \pi$

Supersymmetric flux vacua

• Supersymmetric vacua are characterised by the vanishing of the F-terms:

$$D_{\mathcal{Z}}\mathcal{W} = D_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{W} = D_{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{W} = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow V_0 = -3 e^{\mathcal{K}_0} |\mathcal{W}_0|^2 \leq 0$ at any SUSY vacuum $\Rightarrow Mkw \text{ or } AdS_4.$

· Physical constraints have to be imposed over the moduli VEVs

$$g_s = rac{1}{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S}_0} > 0$$
 and $\mathrm{Vol}_6 = \left(rac{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{T}_0}{\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{S}_0}
ight)^{rac{3}{2}} > 0$

Supersymmetric Mkw vacua: They require $\mathcal{W}_0 = 0$ and then the roots alignment

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \mathcal{P}_2(\mathcal{Z}_0) = \mathcal{P}_3(\mathcal{Z}_0) = 0 \implies \mathsf{Im}\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathsf{Im}U_0 = 0$$

resulting in an ill-defined internal space.

Supersymmetric AdS₄ vacua: They exist in all the five SUGRA models !!

Remarks on the supersymmetric AdS₄ landscape

- Set of layers of vacua associated to different flux algebras.
- There are regions in flux space with small characteristic data (g_s, V_0) .
- Relation between the moduli VEVs and the R-R net charges (N_3, N_7) .
- Non-semisimple *Q*-algebras:
 - ► In the nil and iso(3) models, SUSY forces one combination of the ReS and ReT axions to be unstabilised. These models come out with O3/O7 and D3/D7 sources respectively.
 - ▶ In the iso(3) model it is possible to avoid sources altogether $(N_3 = N_7 = 0)$.
 - ▶ In the $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)^3$ model, all the moduli are stabilised and D3/D7-branes are generically required.
- Semisimple *Q*-algebras:
 - All the moduli are stabilised.
 - ► Appearance of multiple vacua for certain flux configurations.
 - ► Richer structure of R-R charges, i.e. O3/D3 or O7/D7 sources can be avoided separately.

A flash of the AdS_4 landscape

• Q-algebra $\equiv \mathfrak{so}(4)$ model with $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$, $N_3 = 16$, $N_7 = 0$ and integer fluxes (even) up to 20.



Non-supersymmetric Minkowski/de Sitter vacua

 The strategy: Our strategy entails finding the Mkw extrema of the scalar potential by solving

$$\frac{\partial V(\Phi,\theta_{\epsilon},\theta_{\xi})}{\partial \Phi}\Big|_{\Phi=\Phi_{0}}=0 \qquad \text{plus} \qquad V(\Phi_{0},\theta_{\epsilon},\theta_{\xi})=0$$

and then looking for dS vacua continuously connected to them.

- The stabilisation of the ${\cal S}$ and ${\cal T}$ moduli in a Mkw vacuum can be worked out analytically.
- The stabilisation of the $\mathcal Z$ modulus has to be tackled numerically.
- The $V(\Phi_0, \theta_{\epsilon}, \theta_{\xi}) = 0$ condition relates the values of the $(\theta_{\epsilon}, \theta_{\xi})$ phases at the Mkw vacua. Therefore, these vacua draw lines within the parameter space $(\theta_{\epsilon}, \theta_{\xi})$.



(日)、

Sac

э

Models based on the \mathfrak{nil} *Q*-algebra.

► There are no solution of the extremisation conditions when demanding the vanishing of the cosmological constant ⇒ These models are excluded to accommodate for Minkowski extrema.

Models based on the iso(3) Q-algebra.



▶ Unstable Mkw extrema with an underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \mathfrak{u}(1)^6$ flux algebra and with O3/D7 sources.

- Points A and A': Underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{z}) \oplus_{\mathbb{Z}_3} \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{1})^6$ and $|\Phi_0| \to \infty$.
- Point B : Special point where $|\Phi_0| \rightarrow 0$ dynamically.

Models based on the $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)^3$ Q-algebra.



▶ Unstable Mkw extrema with an underlying $g = \mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{nil}$ flux algebra and with O3/D7 sources.

- Points A and A': Underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{z}) + \mathfrak{nil}$ and $|\Phi_0| \to \infty$.
- Point B : Underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{1})^6$ and $|\Phi_0| \to 0$.

Models based on the $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ *Q*-algebra.



Two branches of unstable Mkw extrema with different flux algebras:

- (1) Line \overline{DD} through point B: Underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(4)$ flux algebra. Special point B in which Im $\Phi_0 \to 0$ dynamically.
- (2) Line $\overline{DD'}$ through point A: Underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z},\mathfrak{1}) + \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z})$ flux algebra. Special A, C and C' points where $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}_0 \to 0$ dynamically.
- Points D and D': Underlying $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{iso}(\mathfrak{z}) + \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z})$ and $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{Z}_0 \to \infty$, $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{T}_0 \to 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{Z}_0 \to 0$.

(日)、

э.

Models based on the $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ Q-algebra.



• There is an unique $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{1}) + \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{1})$ flux algebra.

- Point B: Special point where $Im\Phi_0 \rightarrow 0$ dynamically.
- Points C and C' : Special points where $Im S_0 \rightarrow 0$ dynamically.

★ Lines DE & D'E' : Stable Mkw vacua with O3-planes and D7-branes !!

► Minkowski stable vacua are continuously connected to dS stable vacua via

$$heta_{\xi}^{(\mathsf{dS})} \,=\, heta_{\xi}^{(\mathsf{Mkw})} + \delta heta_{\xi}$$

up to some critical value $0 < \delta \theta_{\xi} < \delta \theta_{\xi}^{(crit)}$.

Supersymmetry broken by all moduli,

 $F_{\mathcal{Z}} \neq 0$, $F_{\mathcal{S}} \neq 0$, $F_{\mathcal{T}} \neq 0$

Gómez-Reino and Scrucca [arXiv:hep-th/0602246]

► dS saddle point with η -problem, $\eta \sim O(10)$, close to the Mkw vacuum \Rightarrow No *slow-roll* inflation.

Flauger, Paban, Robbins and Wrase [arXiv:hep-th/08123886] Caviezel, Koerber, Körs, Lüst, Wrase and Zagermann [arXiv:hep-th/08123551]

► Non-geometric type IIA duals with O6/D6 ⇒ avoiding no-go theorems.

Hertzberg, Kachru, Taylor and Tegmark [arXiv:0711.2512] Haque, Shiu, Underwood and Van Riet [arXiv:0810.5328] Silverstein [arXiv:0712.1196]



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Insights into T/S-duality invariant SUGRA models $(Q \neq 0 \text{ and } P \neq 0)$

Based on the collaboration:

A. G. and G. J. Weatherill [arXiv:0811.2190 [hep-th]]

• The models are specified by the Kähler potential and the superpotential given by

$$K = -3 \log \left(-i \left(U - \overline{U}\right)\right) - \log \left(-i \left(S - \overline{S}\right)\right) - 3 \log \left(-i \left(T - \overline{T}\right)\right)$$
$$W = \underbrace{P_1(U)}_{\overline{F_3}} + S \underbrace{P_2(U)}_{\overline{H_3}} + T \left(\underbrace{P_3(U)}_Q + S \underbrace{P_4(U)}_P\right)$$

• Flux constraints:

Jacobi identities	$(C_8,C_8', ilde C_8)$ and C_4 tadpole cancellations
$Q^2 = 0$, $QP + PQ = 0$, $P^2 = 0$	$Q \cdot \bar{F}_3 = N_7$, $Q \cdot \bar{H}_3 + P \cdot \bar{F}_3 = N_7'$, $P \cdot \bar{H}_3 = \tilde{N}_7$
$\bar{H}_3Q - \bar{F}_3P = 0$	$ar{H}_3\wedgear{F}_3=N_3$

• Objectives:

- To extend the techniques developed for the T-duality invariant SUGRA models to the case of T/S-duality invariant ones.
- ▶ To look for simple supersymmetric solutions.
- Tools: Algebraic Geometry techniques to solve the Jacobi identities.

Flux backgrounds and SUSY solutions

- Non-geometric (Q, P) backgrounds: The P flux can be implemented as deformations of the Q-algebra by an element of its second cohomology class.
 - $\blacktriangleright \ Q^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q\text{-algebra whose embedding is encoded within } \ \Gamma_Q.$
 - ► $P^2 = 0 \implies P$ -algebra whose embedding is encoded within Γ_P .
 - ▶ $QP + PQ = 0 \Rightarrow$ Restriction on the embedding matrices (Γ_Q, Γ_P) .

Using the Gianni-Trager-Zacharias GTZ primary decomposition algorithm implemented in the computer algebra system *Singular*, the solution to QP + PQ = 0 breaks down into various branches.

- Gauge (\bar{F}_3, \bar{H}_3) backgrounds: The $\bar{H}_3Q \bar{F}_3P = 0$ condition remains a linear system \Rightarrow Analytical solution.
- The entire set of consistent flux backgrounds can be systematically built \Rightarrow Supersymmetric AdS₄ and Minkowski vacua can be found !!
- Question: Can these solutions be lifted to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravities with electric-magnetic gaugings? Schon and Weidner [arXiv:hep-th/0602024]

Lifting to $\mathcal{N} = 4$

• The $\mathcal{N}=4$ flux algebra involving gauge (\bar{H}_3,\bar{F}_3) and non-geometric (Q,P) fluxes is now known.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Electric }(+): & f_{+}{}^{abc}=\tilde{F}^{abc} &, & f_{+a}{}^{bc}=Q^{bc}_{a} \\ \mbox{Magnetic }(-): & f_{-}{}^{abc}=\tilde{H}^{abc} &, & f_{-a}{}^{bc}=P^{bc}_{a} \end{array}$

 \Rightarrow Jacobi identities imply QP=PQ=0 as well as absence of 7-branes. Aldazabal, Cámara and Rosabal [arXiv:0811.2900 [hep-th]]

Dibitetto, Linares and Roest [arXiv:1001.3982 [hep-th]]

- All the supersymmetric Minkowski solutions we found can not be lifted to $\mathcal{N}=4$ solutions since:
 - ▶ They satisfy QP + PQ = 0 with $QP \neq 0$ and $PQ \neq 0$.
 - ▶ They need of 7-branes to cancel the flux-induced tadpoles.
- We also found solutions with QP = PQ = 0 and without requiring 7-branes to cancel flux-induced tadpoles:
 - ▶ Non-supersymmetric Mkw/dS solutions in the T-duality invariant setup.
 - Supersymmetric AdS_4 solutions in both T- and T/S-duality invariant setup.
- What electric-magnetic gaugings these solutions correspond to ?

de Roo, Westra and Panda [arXiv:hep-th/0606282] Roest [arXiv:0902.0479 [hep-th]]

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Conclusions

- A plethora of classical moduli extrema of the effective scalar potential take place in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ type II orientifold models including generalised fluxes.
- Understanding the generalised flux algebras underlying these flux-induced models becomes crucial for removing redundant degrees of freedom. This allows us to be exhaustive when performing a scanning of vacua.
- Algebraic Geometry Techniques can be applied either to find solutions to the generalised flux constraints or to find moduli extrema.
- Non-supersymmetric Mkw/dS extrema describe lines in the parameter space connecting points associated to either a special algebra or a moduli space singularity.

- Mkw/dS stable vacua appear in models based on the so(3,1) + so(3,1) generalised flux algebra built upon an so(3,1) *Q*-algebra. They require O3-planes and D7-branes to cancel flux-induced tadpoles.
- From a cosmological viewpoint, *slow-roll* inflation in the moduli sector seems not likely to take place in these generalised flux scenarios due to the persistence of an η -problem.
- It would be interesting to explore the origin of these supergravity solutions as electric-magnetic gaugings of half-maximal $\mathcal{N}=4$ supergravity arising from type II orientifold reductions. This would shed light on the lifting of these non-geometric backgrounds to ten dimensions.

...thank you all !!