ABJM Baryon Stability at Finite ‘t Hooft Coupling

Yolanda Lozano (U. Oviedo)

Santiago de Compostela, October 2011

- Motivation: Study the stability of non-singlet baryon vertex-like configurations in ABJM

- How: Existence of a classical solution + stability analysis
  - Probe brane approx: Valid at strong ‘t Hooft coupling
  - Dissolve D0’s $\rightarrow$ Microscopical description: Valid at finite ‘t Hooft coupling

- Results:
  - Non-singlet stable baryons at finite ‘t Hooft coupling
  - Flat B_2 required by Freed-Witten anomaly
  - New higher curvature dielectric couplings

(Based on arXiv:1105.0939 [hep-th], JHEP, with M. Picos, K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos)

1.Introduction

AdS_4/CFT_3 relates the Type IIA superstring on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ to the $\mathcal{N}=6$ Chern-Simons matter theory with gauge group $U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ known as ABJM.

- Good description when $N^{1/5} << k$.
- Like AdS_5/CFT_4 it is a strong weak coupling duality, with 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = N/k$:
  - The string background describes the 't Hooft limit of the theory: $N, k \to \infty$ with $\lambda = N/k$ fixed
  - IIA weakly curved when $k << N$ (large 't Hooft coupling)
- 3 dimensions $\to$ Applications in condensed matter

2. Particle-like branes in ABJM

CP^3 has $H^q(CP^3) = \mathbb{Z}$ for even $q \Rightarrow$ D2, D4 and D6 particle-like branes wrapping topologically non-trivial cycles

2. Particle-like branes in ABJM

CP^3 has $H^q(CP^3) = \mathbb{Z}$ for even $q \Rightarrow$ D2, D4 and D6 particle-like branes wrapping topologically non-trivial cycles

Interpretation in the dual CFT:

- D6 wrapped on the CP^3: Analogous to the baryon vertex in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. F_6 flux $\Rightarrow$ Tadpole that has to be cancelled with N F-strings ending on it $\leftrightarrow$ N external quarks on the boundary of AdS_4

The baryon vertex in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Gauge invariant coupling of N external quarks

Through AdS/CFT external quarks are regarded as endpoints of F-strings in AdS





Baryon vertex in the gravity side: D5-brane wrapped on the 5-sphere (Witten'98):

$$S_{CS} = 2\pi\, T_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times S^5} P[F_5] \wedge A = N\, T_{F1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt A_t$$

N charge cancelled by N F-strings ending on the 5-brane

Dual configuration on the CFT side: N Wilson lines ending on an epsilon tensor $\longleftrightarrow$ Bound state of N quarks.

However, within the gauge/gravity correspondence it is possible to construct bound states of l quarks with $l < N$ (non-singlets) (Brandhuber, Itzhaki, Sonnenschein, Yankielowitz'98; Imamura'98)

Dual configuration on the CFT side: N Wilson lines ending on an epsilon tensor $\longleftrightarrow$ Bound state of N quarks.

However, within the gauge/gravity correspondence it is possible to construct bound states of l quarks with $l < N$ (non-singlets) (Brandhuber, Itzhaki, Sonnenschein, Yankielowitz'98; Imamura'98)

In $AdS_4 \times CP^3$: A D6-brane wrapped on the CP^3:

$$S_{CS} = 2\pi\, T_6 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^3} P[F_6] \wedge A = N\, T_{F1} \int dt A_t$$

Cancel this charge with the charge induced by the endpoints of N open F-strings stretching between the D6 and the boundary of AdS

Dual configuration on the CFT side: N Wilson lines ending on an epsilon tensor $\longleftrightarrow$ Bound state of N quarks.

However, within the gauge/gravity correspondence it is possible to construct bound states of l quarks with $l < N$ (non-singlets) (Brandhuber, Itzhaki, Sonnenschein, Yankielowitz'98; Imamura'98)

In $AdS_4 \times CP^3$: A D6-brane wrapped on the CP^3:

$$S_{CS} = 2\pi\, T_6 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^3} P[F_6] \wedge A = N\, T_{F1} \int dt A_t$$

Cancel this charge with the charge induced by the endpoints of N open F-strings stretching between the D6 and the boundary of AdS

Non-singlets?

2. Particle-like branes in ABJM

CP^3 has $H^q(CP^3)=\mathbb{Z}$ for even $q \Rightarrow$ D2, D4 and D6 particle-like branes wrapping topologically non-trivial cycles

Interpretation in the dual CFT:

- D6 wrapped on the CP^3: Analogous to the baryon vertex in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. F_6 flux $\Rightarrow$ Tadpole that has to be cancelled with N F-strings ending on it $\leftrightarrow$ N external quarks on the boundary of AdS_4

- D2 wrapped on a $CP^1 \subset CP^3$:'t Hooft monopole. F_2 flux $\Rightarrow$ Tadpole that has to be cancelled with k F-strings

But k Wilson lines cannot end on an epsilon tensor

If one forms the symmetric product only the endpoint of the Wilson lines is observable and the product behaves like a ‘t Hooft operator creating one unit of magnetic flux at a point (ABJM) $\rightarrow$ ‘t Hooft monopole

If one forms the symmetric product only the endpoint of the Wilson lines is observable and the product behaves like a 't Hooft operator creating one unit of magnetic flux at a point (ABJM) $\rightarrow$ 't Hooft monopole

- D4 wrapped on a $CP^2 \subset CP^3$: Di-baryon

  It does not capture the background fluxes.
  Same baryon charge and dimension than di-baryon:

  Baryon charge N, $m_{D4}L = N \Rightarrow \Delta = \frac{m_{D4}L}{2} = \frac{N}{2}$

  $\Rightarrow$ Dual configuration composed of N chirals

  Di-baryon operator: $O^{D4} = \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \ldots j_N} A^{i_1}_{j_1} \ldots A^{i_N}_{j_N}$

If one forms the symmetric product only the endpoint of the Wilson lines is observable and the product behaves like a ‘t Hooft operator creating one unit of magnetic flux at a point (ABJM) $\rightarrow$ ‘t Hooft monopole

- D4 wrapped on a $CP^2 \subset CP^3$: Di-baryon

  It does not capture the background fluxes.
  Same baryon charge and dimension than di-baryon:

  Baryon charge N, $m_{D4}L = N \Rightarrow \Delta = \frac{m_{D4}L}{2} = \frac{N}{2}$

  $\Rightarrow$ Dual configuration composed of N chirals

  Di-baryon operator: $O^{D4} = \epsilon_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \epsilon^{j_1 \ldots j_N} A^{i_1}_{j_1} \ldots A^{i_N}_{j_N}$

These configurations admit a natural generalization by allowing non-trivial worldvolume gauge fluxes:

3. Add a magnetic flux

(Gutiérrez, Y.L., Rodríguez-Gómez'10)

Candidates for holographic anyons in ABJM (Kawamoto, Lin'09)
(anyonic phase associated to the F1 attached to the baryons surrounding the D0's dissolved)

3. Add a magnetic flux

(Gutiérrez, Y.L., Rodríguez-Gómez'10)

Candidates for holographic anyons in ABJM (Kawamoto, Lin'09)
(anyonic phase associated to the F1 attached to the baryons surrounding the D0's dissolved)

A non-trivial flux adds lower dim brane charges and modifies the way the branes capture the background fluxes.

3. Add a magnetic flux

(Gutiérrez, Y.L., Rodríguez-Gómez'10)

Candidates for holographic anyons in ABJM (Kawamoto, Lin'09)
(anyonic phase associated to the F1 attached to the baryons surrounding the D0's dissolved)

A non-trivial flux adds lower dim brane charges and modifies the way the branes capture the background fluxes.

For example, for $F = \mathcal{N} J$ the D4 captures the F_2 flux and develops a tadpole $\Rightarrow$ F-strings ending on it :

$$S_{CS} = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^2 T_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^2} P[F_2] \wedge F \wedge A = \frac{k\mathcal{N}}{2} T_{F1} \int dt A_t$$

3. Add a magnetic flux

(Gutiérrez, Y.L., Rodríguez-Gómez'10)

Candidates for holographic anyons in ABJM (Kawamoto, Lin'09)
(anyonic phase associated to the F1 attached to the baryons surrounding the D0's dissolved)

A non-trivial flux adds lower dim brane charges and modifies the way the branes capture the background fluxes.

For example, for $F = \mathcal{N} J$ the D4 captures the F_2 flux and develops a tadpole $\Rightarrow$ F-strings ending on it :

$$S_{CS} = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^2 T_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^2} P[F_2] \wedge F \wedge A = \frac{k\mathcal{N}}{2} T_{F1} \int dt A_t$$

$\rightarrow$ Baryon vertex-like configurations

3. Add a magnetic flux

(Gutiérrez, Y.L., Rodríguez-Gómez'10)

Candidates for holographic anyons in ABJM (Kawamoto, Lin'09)
(anyonic phase associated to the F1 attached to the baryons surrounding the D0's dissolved)

A non-trivial flux adds lower dim brane charges and modifies the way the branes capture the background fluxes.

For example, for $F = \mathcal{N} J$ the D4 captures the F_2 flux and develops a tadpole $\Rightarrow$ F-strings ending on it :

$$S_{CS} = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^2 T_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^2} P[F_2] \wedge F \wedge A = \frac{k\mathcal{N}}{2} T_{F1} \int dt A_t$$

$\rightarrow$ Baryon vertex-like configurations

The magnetic flux modifies the dynamics as well:

4. Gauge/gravity calculation of the energy

(Brandhuber, Itzhaki, Sonnenschein, Yankielowitz'98; Imamura'98; Maldacena'98)

Consider a uniform distribution of strings on a $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ shell with $p = 2, 4, 6$

Non-SUSY but we can ignore the backreaction

4. Gauge/gravity calculation of the energy

(Brandhuber, Itzhaki, Sonnenschein, Yankielowitz'98; Imamura'98; Maldacena'98)

Consider a uniform distribution of strings on a $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ shell with $p = 2, 4, 6$

Non-SUSY but we can ignore the backreaction

In the probe brane approx, with $F = \mathcal{N} J$, $S = S_{Dp} + S_{qF1}$:

$$S_{Dp} = -Q_p \int dt \, \frac{2\rho}{L} \, , \qquad Q_p = \frac{T_p}{g_s} \mathrm{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}}) \, (L^4 + (2\pi\mathcal{N})^2)^{\frac{p}{4}}$$

$$S_{qT_{F1}} = -q \, T_{F1} \int dt \, dr \sqrt{\frac{16\rho^4}{L^4} + {\rho'}^2},$$

where we have taken $\tau = t$ and $\rho = \rho(r)$

$\sigma = r$

$\leftrightarrow$ Radially symmetric distribution on a circle of radius l



$$\rho(0) = \rho_0$$
$$\rho(l) = \infty$$

4. Gauge/gravity calculation of the energy

Consider a uniform distribution of strings on a $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ shell with $p = 2, 4, 6$

Non-SUSY but we can ignore the backreaction

In the probe brane approx, with $F = \mathcal{N} J$, $S = S_{Dp} + S_{qF1}$:

$$S_{Dp} = -Q_p \int dt \, \frac{2\rho}{L} \, , \qquad Q_p = \frac{T_p}{g_s} \mathrm{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}}) \, (L^4 + (2\pi\mathcal{N})^2)^{\frac{p}{4}}$$

$$S_{qT_{F1}} = -q \, T_{F1} \int dt \, dr \sqrt{\frac{16\rho^4}{L^4} + \rho'^2}$$

Bulk equation of motion: $$\frac{\rho^4}{\sqrt{\frac{16\rho^4}{L^4} + \rho'^2}} = c$$

Boundary equation of motion: $$\frac{\rho_0'}{\sqrt{\frac{16\rho_0^4}{L^4} + \rho_0'^2}} = \frac{2Q_p}{L \, q \, T_{F_1}}$$

Define $\sqrt{1-\beta^2} = \frac{2Q_p}{L\,q\,T_{F1}}$ with $\beta \in [0,1]$

The two equations can be combined into:

$$\frac{\rho^4}{\sqrt{\frac{16\rho^4}{L^4} + \rho'^2}} = \frac{1}{4}\,\beta\,\rho_0^2\,L^2$$

Integrating: Size of the configuration:

$$\ell = \frac{L^2}{4\rho_0}\int_1^\infty dz\,\frac{\beta}{z^2\sqrt{z^4-\beta^2}}$$

Same form for the baryon vertex in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Same dependence on L^2 in $AdS_5 \times S^5$: Prediction of AdS/CFT for the strong coupling behavior of the CS theory

On-shell energy:

$$E = E_{Dp} + E_{qF1} = qT_{F_1}\rho_0\Big(\sqrt{1-\beta^2} + \int_1^\infty dz \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{z^4-\beta^2}}\Big)$$

Binding energy:

$$E_{\rm bin} = q\,T_{F_1}\,\rho_0\Big(\sqrt{1-\beta^2} + \int_1^\infty dz\Big[\frac{z^2}{\sqrt{z^4-\beta^2}} - 1\Big] - 1\Big)$$

where we have substracted the energy of the constituents (when the brane is located in $\rho_0 = 0$ the strings become radial and correspond to free quarks)

- $E_{\rm bin}$ negative and decreases monotonically with β
- $E_{\rm bin} = 0$ for $\beta = 0$ (q free radial strings stretching from ρ_0 to ∞ plus a Dp-brane at ρ_0) (only for non-zero magnetic flux)

As a function of ℓ :

$$E_{\rm bin} = -f(\beta)\frac{(g_s N)^{2/5}}{\ell} \quad \text{with} \quad f(\beta) \geq 0$$

$\Rightarrow$ - The configuration is stable

- $E_{\rm bin} \sim 1/\ell$ dictated by conformal invariance

- As a function of the 't Hooft coupling, $\lambda = N/k$,

$E_{\rm bin} \sim \sqrt{\lambda}$, as in $AdS_5 \Rightarrow$ Non-trivial prediction for the non-perturbative regime of the CS theory

(Mariño, Putrov'09)

In fact, since the D4 wraps a non-spin manifold if must carry $F_{FW} = J$ due to the Freed-Witten anomaly (Freed, Witten'99)

$\Rightarrow$ A flat half-integer B_2 has to be switched on, such that

$$\mathcal{F} = F_{FW} + \frac{1}{2\pi} B_2 = 0$$

Then $Q_p = \dfrac{T_p}{g_s} \mathrm{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}}) \, (L^4 + (2\pi)^2 (\mathcal{N} - 1)^2)^{\frac{p}{4}}$ for $p = 2, 6$

For the D6 there are new CS terms:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^3} P[F_2] \wedge B_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge A, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}\times CP^3} P[F_2] \wedge F \wedge B_2 \wedge A$$

that modify the number of F-strings. First is cancelled with

$$S^{D6}_{h.c.} = \frac{3}{2} (2\pi)^5 \, T_6 \int C_1 \wedge F \wedge \sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}(T)}{\hat{A}(N)}}$$

(Aharony, Hashimoto, Hirano, Ouyang'09)

$$\frac{2Q_p}{L\,q\,T_{F1}} \leq 1 \Rightarrow$$ Bound on the magnetic flux







Binding energy per string

q : Number of strings

D-brane	q
D2	k
D4	$k\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}$
D6	$N + k\frac{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-2)}{8}$

5. Reduce the number of fundamental strings

In $AdS_5 \times S^5$: Baryon vertex classical solutions with number of quarks $5N/8 \leq l \leq N$ (non-singlet)

(Brandhuber, Itzhaki, Sonnenschein, Yankielowitz'98; Imamura'98)

Stable against fluctuations for $0.813N \leq l \leq N$

(Sfetsos, Siampos'08)

In $AdS_4 \times CP^3$:



Analysis of the equations of motion:

$\rightarrow$ Classical solutions exist for $\frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \leq l \leq q$

$\rightarrow$ Bound on the magnetic flux

$(l, \mathcal{N})$ parameter space bounded by the values for which the baryon vertex reduces to free quarks

Analysis of the equations of motion:

$\rightarrow$ Classical solutions exist for $\frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \leq l \leq q$

$\rightarrow$ Bound on the magnetic flux

$(l, \mathcal{N})$ parameter space bounded by the values for which the baryon vertex reduces to free quarks

Stability analysis

Instabilities emerge from longitudinal fluctuations of the strings (Sfetsos, Siampos'08)

Perturb the classical solution: $\delta x^\mu(t,\rho) = \delta x^\mu(\rho) e^{-i\omega t}$ for $x^\mu = r, \theta$, expand the Nambu-Goto action to quadratic order and study the zero mode problem

Bound for the number of F-strings coming from stability:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{1+\gamma_c}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \qquad \gamma_c = 0.538$$

Bound for the number of F-strings coming from stability:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{1+\gamma_c}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \qquad \gamma_c = 0.538$$

More restrictive than the bound imposed by the existence of a classical solution:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2})$$

Bound for the number of F-strings coming from stability:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{1+\gamma_c}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \qquad \gamma_c = 0.538$$

More restrictive than the bound imposed by the existence of a classical solution:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2})$$

$\Rightarrow$ Non-singlet states exist for $\lambda >> 1$

Bound for the number of F-strings coming from stability:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{1+\gamma_c}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \qquad \gamma_c = 0.538$$

More restrictive than the bound imposed by the existence of a classical solution:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2})$$

$\Rightarrow$ Non-singlet states exist for $\lambda >> 1$

Can we reach the finite 't Hooft coupling region?

Bound for the number of F-strings coming from stability:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{1+\gamma_c}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2}) \qquad \gamma_c = 0.538$$

More restrictive than the bound imposed by the existence of a classical solution:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2})$$

$\Rightarrow$ Non-singlet states exist for $\lambda >> 1$

Can we reach the finite 't Hooft coupling region?

Microscopical description in terms of fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ built up out of n dielectric D0-branes valid when $N << n^{\frac{4}{p}} k$

6. The microscopical description

A non-trivial magnetic flux induces D0-brane charge on the Dp

$\Rightarrow$ Complementary description in terms of multiple D0 expanded into fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ by Myers dielectric effect

6. The microscopical description

A non-trivial magnetic flux induces D0-brane charge on the Dp

$\Rightarrow$ Complementary description in terms of multiple D0 expanded into fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ by Myers dielectric effect

- Macroscopical description valid in the sugra limit:

$$L >> 1 \Leftrightarrow N >> k$$

6. The microscopical description

A non-trivial magnetic flux induces D0-brane charge on the Dp

$\Rightarrow$ Complementary description in terms of multiple D0 expanded into fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ by Myers dielectric effect

- Macroscopical description valid in the sugra limit:

$$L >> 1 \;\Leftrightarrow N >> k$$

- Micro when

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}})}{n} << l_s^p \;\Leftrightarrow\; N << n^{\frac{4}{p}} k$$

6. The microscopical description

A non-trivial magnetic flux induces D0-brane charge on the Dp

$\Rightarrow$ Complementary description in terms of multiple D0 expanded into fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ by Myers dielectric effect

- Macroscopical description valid in the sugra limit:

$$L >> 1 \;\Leftrightarrow N >> k$$

- Micro when

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}})}{n} << l_s^p \;\Leftrightarrow\; N << n^{\frac{4}{p}} k$$

$\Rightarrow$ It allows to explore the region of finite λ

6. The microscopical description

A non-trivial magnetic flux induces D0-brane charge on the Dp

$\Rightarrow$ Complementary description in terms of multiple D0 expanded into fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ by Myers dielectric effect

- Macroscopical description valid in the sugra limit:

$$L >> 1 \Leftrightarrow N >> k$$

- Micro when

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}})}{n} << l_s^p \Leftrightarrow N << n^{\frac{4}{p}} k$$

$\Rightarrow$ It allows to explore the region of finite λ

Complementary for finite n

Should agree in the large n limit

6.1. Fuzzy CP

- $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$: coset manifold $\dfrac{SU(\frac{p}{2}+1)}{U(\frac{p}{2})}$. Submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p}$

determined by the constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p}(x^i)^2 = 1\,, \qquad \sum_{j,k=1}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p} d^{ijk}x^j x^k = \frac{\frac{p}{2}-1}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{4}(\frac{p}{2}+1)}}x^i$$

$\rightarrow p$ dimensional manifold

Fubini-Study metric of the $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ given by

$$ds^2_{CP^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \frac{p}{4(\frac{p}{2}+1)}\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p}(dx^i)^2$$

6.1. Fuzzy CP

- $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$: coset manifold $\dfrac{SU(\frac{p}{2}+1)}{U(\frac{p}{2})}$. Submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p}$ determined by the constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p} (x^i)^2 = 1\,, \qquad \sum_{j,k=1}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p} d^{ijk}x^j x^k = \frac{\frac{p}{2}-1}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{4}(\frac{p}{2}+1)}}x^i$$

$\rightarrow p$ dimensional manifold

Fubini-Study metric of the $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ given by

$$ds^2_{CP^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \frac{p}{4(\frac{p}{2}+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p^2}{4}+p} (dx^i)^2$$

- Matrix level definition $\leftrightarrow$ Fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$:

$X^i = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{C_n}} T^i$, T^i : generators of $SU(\dfrac{p}{2}+1)$ in the $(m,0)$ irrep

Substituting in Myers action for D0-branes:

$$S_{DBI} = -\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{e^{-\phi}\sqrt{|\det\Big(P[E_{\mu\nu} + E_{\mu i}(Q^{-1}-\delta)^i_j E^{jk}E_{k\nu}]\Big)\det Q|}\Big\}$$

$$Q^i_j = \delta^i_j + \frac{i}{2\pi}[X^i, X^k]E_{kj}$$

Substituting in Myers action for D0-branes:

$$S_{DBI} = -\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{e^{-\phi}\sqrt{|\det\Big(P[E_{\mu\nu} + E_{\mu i}(Q^{-1} - \delta)^i_j E^{jk} E_{k\nu}]\Big)\det Q|}\Big\}$$

$$Q^i_j = \delta^i_j + \frac{i}{2\pi}[X^i, X^k]E_{kj}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad S^{DBI}_{nD0} = -\frac{n}{g_s}\Big(1 + \frac{L^4}{16\pi^2 m(m + \frac{p}{2} + 1)}\Big)^{\frac{p}{4}} \int dt\, \frac{2\rho}{L}$$

Substituting in Myers action for D0-branes:

$$S_{DBI} = -\int \mathrm{STr}\left\{ e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\left|\det\left(P[E_{\mu\nu} + E_{\mu i}(Q^{-1} - \delta)^i_j E^{jk} E_{k\nu}]\right) \det Q\right|} \right\}$$

$$Q^i_j = \delta^i_j + \frac{i}{2\pi}[X^i, X^k] E_{kj}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad S^{DBI}_{nD0} = -\frac{n}{g_s}\left(1 + \frac{L^4}{16\pi^2 m(m + \frac{p}{2} + 1)}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}} \int dt\, \frac{2\rho}{L}$$

$$n = \dim(m, 0)\,, \;\; n = \frac{\mathcal{N}^{\frac{p}{2}}}{2^{\frac{p}{2}}(\frac{p}{2})!} + \ldots \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; m \sim \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}$$ for large n

and S^{DBI}_{nD0} exactly reproduces the macroscopical result:

$$S_{Dp} = -Q_p \int dt\, \frac{2\rho}{L}\,, \qquad Q_p = \frac{T_p}{g_s} \mathrm{Vol}(CP^{\frac{p}{2}})\,(L^4 + (2\pi\mathcal{N})^2)^{\frac{p}{4}}$$

6.2. The F-strings from the Dp to the boundary of AdS

CS action for coincident branes:

$$S_{CS} = \int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{ P\Big(e^{\frac{i}{2\pi}(i_X i_X)} \sum_q C_q \; e^{B_2} \Big) e^{2\pi F} \Big\}$$

Dependence of the background potentials on the non-Abelian scalars:

$$C_q(t, X) = C_q(t) + X^k \partial_k C_q(t) + \frac{1}{2} X^l X^k \partial_l \partial_k C_q(t) + \ldots$$

6.2. The F-strings from the Dp to the boundary of AdS

CS action for coincident branes:

$$S_{CS} = \int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{ P\Big(e^{\frac{i}{2\pi}(i_X i_X)} \sum_q C_q \, e^{B_2} \Big) e^{2\pi F} \Big\}$$

Dependence of the background potentials on the non-Abelian scalars:

$$C_q(t, X) = C_q(t) + X^k \partial_k C_q(t) + \frac{1}{2} X^l X^k \partial_l \partial_k C_q(t) + \ldots$$

For example:

$$S_{CS_2} = -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int \mathrm{STr}\{(i_X i_X)^3 F_6 \wedge A\} =$$

$$= N\Big(m(m+4)\Big)^{-3/2} \frac{(m+3)!}{m!} \int dt \, A_t$$

6.2. The F-strings from the Dp to the boundary of AdS

CS action for coincident branes:

$$S_{CS} = \int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{P\Big(e^{\frac{i}{2\pi}(i_X i_X)} \sum_q C_q\, e^{B_2}\Big)e^{2\pi F}\Big\}$$

Dependence of the background potentials on the non-Abelian scalars:

$$C_q(t,X) = C_q(t) + X^k \partial_k C_q(t) + \frac{1}{2} X^l X^k \partial_l \partial_k C_q(t) + \dots$$

For example:

$$S_{CS_2} = -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^2}\int \mathrm{STr}\{(i_X i_X)^3 F_6 \wedge A\} =$$

$$= N\Big(m(m+4)\Big)^{-3/2}\frac{(m+3)!}{m!}\int dt\, A_t \to N\int dt\, A_t \quad \textbf{for } m >> 1$$

$$S_{CS_1} = i \int STr\{(i_X i_X) F_2 \wedge A\}$$ gives the F1 charge prop. to k

$$S_{CS_1} = i\int STr\{(i_X i_X)F_2 \wedge A\}$$ gives the F1 charge prop. to k

6.3. The flat half-integer NS-NS 2-form

Introduced macroscopically to cancel the flux of the Freed-Witten vector field, such that $\mathcal{F} = F_{FW} + \frac{1}{2\pi}B_2 = 0$

Microscopically: We should find an obstacle to the expansion of the D0 into a fuzzy CP^2 when $B_2 = 0$.

However, F_{FW} does not couple in the action for D0

How precisely $B_2 \neq 0$ allows the construction of the CP^2 ?

Macroscopically B_2 modifies the BI action such that
$\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} - 1$ (for the D2 and D6)

$\Rightarrow$ Microscopically we should include $\frac{1}{m}$ corrections

To this order we find for $B_2 = 0$:

$\mathcal{N} = 2m + \frac{p}{2} + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{N} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for p=2,6

Whereas for $B_2 \neq 0$:

$\mathcal{N}_{D2,D6} = 2m + \frac{p}{2} + 1$ and $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} - 1$

$\mathcal{N}_{D4} = 2m + \frac{p}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{N} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all p

Macroscopically B_2 modifies the BI action such that
$\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} - 1$ (for the D2 and D6)

$\Rightarrow$ Microscopically we should include $\frac{1}{m}$ corrections

To this order we find for $B_2 = 0$:

$\mathcal{N} = 2m + \frac{p}{2} + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{N} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for p=2,6

Whereas for $B_2 \neq 0$:

$\mathcal{N}_{D2,D6} = 2m + \frac{p}{2} + 1$ and $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N} - 1$

$\mathcal{N}_{D4} = 2m + \frac{p}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{N} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all p

$\Rightarrow B_2 \neq 0$ to have $\mathcal{N}$ properly quantized

Confirmed by the CS action (include as well

$$S_{CS_3} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{(i_X i_X)^2 F_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge A\Big\}\ )$$

Confirmed by the CS action (include as well

$$S_{CS_3} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{(i_X i_X)^2 F_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge A\Big\}$$)

Higher curvature couplings are needed in order to cancel the contribution of

$$S_{CS_4} = -\frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{(i_X i_X)^3 F_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge A\Big\}$$

Confirmed by the CS action (include as well

$$S_{CS_3} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{(i_X i_X)^2 F_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge A\Big\}$$)

Higher curvature couplings are needed in order to cancel the contribution of

$$S_{CS_4} = -\frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int \mathrm{STr}\Big\{(i_X i_X)^3 F_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge B_2 \wedge A\Big\}$$

$$S_{h.c.} = -\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} P[(i_X i_X)^2 C_1 \wedge \sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}(T)}{\hat{A}(N)}}] =$$

$$= -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} [(i_X i_X)^3 (F_2 \wedge \sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}(T)}{\hat{A}(N)}})]A$$

In general:

$$S_{h.c.} = T_p \int d^{p+1}\xi \, \mathrm{STr} \left[P \left(e^{\frac{i}{2\pi}(i_X i_X)} \sum_q C_q \, e^{B_2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}(T)}{\hat{A}(N)}} \right) e^{2\pi F} \right]_{p+1}$$

In general:

$$S_{h.c.} = T_p \int d^{p+1}\xi \, \mathrm{STr} \left[P \left(e^{\frac{i}{2\pi}(i_X i_X)} \sum_q C_q \, e^{B_2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}(T)}{\hat{A}(N)}} \right) e^{2\pi F} \right]_{p+1}$$

$\Rightarrow$ New dielectric couplings of RR-fields to derivatives of B_2 and the metric through T-duality

(Becker, Guo, Robbins'10) (Garousi'10)

In general:

$$S_{h.c.} = T_p \int d^{p+1}\xi \, \mathrm{STr} \left[P \left(e^{\frac{i}{2\pi}(i_X i_X)} \sum_q C_q \, e^{B_2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{A}(T)}{\hat{A}(N)}} \right) e^{2\pi F} \right]_{p+1}$$

$\Rightarrow$ New dielectric couplings of RR-fields to derivatives of B_2 and the metric through T-duality

(Becker, Guo, Robbins'10) (Garousi'10)

Similar stability analysis

7. Conclusions

Stability of baryon vertex like configurations in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$:

- Condition for existence of a classical solution:

$$l \geq \frac{q}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2})$$

- Stable when $l \geq \frac{q}{1+\gamma_c}(1+\sqrt{1-\beta^2})$ $\qquad \gamma_c = 0.538$

$\Rightarrow$ More restrictive

- Probe brane approx $\Rightarrow$ Valid in the SUGRA limit $\lambda >> 1$
- For non-zero magnetic flux: D0-brane charge dissolved $\Rightarrow$

Alternative description in terms of D0-branes expanded into fuzzy $CP^{\frac{p}{2}}$ by Myers dielectric effect

Microscopical description valid for finite ‘t Hooft coupling

- Expansion caused by a purely gravitational dielectric effect
- CS terms indicate the need to introduce F-strings
- Non-singlet classical stable solutions for finite λ
- Prediction of new dielectric higher curvature couplings, with further implications through T and S duality

(Becker, Guo, Robbins’10) (Garousi’10)

8. Open questions

- New dielectric higher curvature couplings confirmed from string amplitudes?

- Can we extrapolate the micro results to $\mathcal{N} \to 0$?

- What happens in theories with less susy, like $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$?

- Include the backreaction $\longleftrightarrow$ Look for supersymmetric spike solutions (partial studies in Kawamoto, Lin'09)

  Marginal bound states?

  Non-singlet states?

- Explore the finite temperature case

8. Open questions

- New dielectric higher curvature couplings confirmed from string amplitudes?
- Can we extrapolate the micro results to $\mathcal{N} \to 0$?
- What happens in theories with less susy, like $AdS_5 \times T^{1,1}$?
- Include the backreaction $\longleftrightarrow$ Look for supersymmetric spike solutions (partial studies in Kawamoto, Lin'09)

  Marginal bound states?

  Non-singlet states?
- Explore the finite temperature case

Thanks!